

Chapter 19

Guidelines for the Provision of Anaesthesia Services (GPAS)

Guidance on the Provision of Anaesthesia Services for Thoracic Procedures 2023



NICE has accredited the process used by the Royal College of Anaesthetists to produce its Guidance on the Provision of Anaesthesia Services. Accreditation is valid for five years from 2023. More information on accreditation can be viewed at <u>www.nice.org.uk/accreditation</u>.

Authors

Dr Seema Agarwal Consultant in Cardiac Anaesthesia and ICU Manchester University Hospitals

Dr Mark Steven Consultant Anaesthetist Golden Jubilee National Hospital Dr Joseph E Arrowsmith Consultant Anaesthetist Royal Papworth Hospital Cambridge President - ACTACC

Dr Christopher Walker Consultant Anaesthetist Royal Brompton Hospital

Chapter Development Group members

Ms Helen Bell PatientsVoices@RCoA Royal College of Anaesthetists

Mr Mike Donnellon Chair of the Education and Standards Committee The College of Operating Department Practitioners

Dr Genevieve O'Farrell Anaesthetist in Training representative University Hospitals Bristol & Weston

Acknowledgements

Peer reviewers

Dr Craig Bailey Consultant Anaesthetist Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust

Dr Sarah Trenfield Director of Anaesthesia Royal Brompton Hospital

Chapter development technical team

Dr Rachel Evley Senior Research Fellow University of Nottingham

Ms Ruth Nichols Royal College of Anaesthetists

Mr Owen Waite Royal College of Anaesthetists Mr Jeremy Cordingley Consultant in Intensive Care Medicine St Bartholemew's Hospital

Dr Alice Evans Anaesthetist in Training representative ACTACC

Dr Stephen Shepherd Consultant in Anaesthesia & Intensive Care Medicine The Royal London Hospital

Dr Jeremy Langton GPAS Editor

Ms Zviko Nuamah Royal College of Anaesthetists

Declarations of interest

All chapter development group (CDG) members, stakeholders and external peer reviewers were asked to declare any pecuniary or non-pecuniary conflict of interest, in line with the guidelines for the provision of anaesthetic services (GPAS) conflict of interest policy as described in the GPAS chapter development process document.

The nature of the involvement in all declarations made was not determined as being a risk to the transparency or impartiality of the chapter development. Where a member was conflicted in relation to a particular piece of evidence, they were asked to declare this and then, if necessary, remove themselves from the discussion of that particular piece of evidence and any recommendation pertaining to it.

Medicolegal implications of GPAS guidelines

GPAS guidelines are not intended to be construed or to serve as a standard of clinical care. Standards of care are determined based on all clinical data available for an individual case and are subject to change as scientific knowledge and technology advance and patterns of care evolve. Adherence to guideline recommendations will not ensure successful outcome in every case, nor should they be construed as including all proper methods of care or excluding other acceptable methods of care aimed at the same results. The ultimate judgement must be made by the appropriate healthcare professional(s) responsible for clinical decisions regarding a particular clinical procedure or treatment plan. This judgement should only be arrived at following discussion of the options with the patient, covering the diagnostic and treatment choices available. It is advised, however, that significant departures from the national guideline or any local guidelines derived from it should be fully documented in the patient's case notes at the time the relevant decision is taken.

Promoting equality and addressing health inequalities

The Royal College of Anaesthetists (RCoA) is committed to promoting equality and addressing health inequalities. Throughout the development of these guidelines we have:

- given due regard to the need to eliminate discrimination, harassment and victimisation, to advance equality of opportunity, and to foster good relations between people who share a relevant Protected Characteristic (as defined in the Equality Act 2010) and those who do not share it
- given regard to the need to reduce inequalities between patients in access to, and outcomes from healthcare services and to ensure services are provided in an integrated way where this might reduce health inequalities.

GPAS Guidelines in context

The GPAS documents should be viewed as 'living documents'. The GPAS guidelines development, implementation and review should be seen not as a linear process, but as a cycle of interdependent activities. These in turn are part of a range of activities to translate evidence into practice, set standards and promote clinical excellence in patient care.

Each of the GPAS chapters should be seen as independent but interlinked documents. Guidelines on the general provision of anaesthetic services are detailed in the following chapters:

• Chapter 1: Guidelines for the Provision of Anaesthesia Services: The Good Department

• <u>Chapter 2: Guidelines for the Provision of Anaesthesia Services for the Perioperative Care of Elective and Urgent Care Patients.</u>

These guidelines apply to all patients who require anaesthesia or sedation, and are under the care of an anaesthetist. For urgent or immediate emergency interventions, this guidance may need to be modified as described in <u>Chapter 5: Guidelines for the Provision of Emergency Anaesthesia</u>.

The rest of the chapters of GPAS apply only to the population groups and settings outlined in the 'Scope' section of these chapters. They outline guidance that is additional, different or particularly important to those population groups and settings included in the 'Scope'. Unless otherwise stated within the chapter, the recommendations outlined in chapters 1–5 still apply.

Each chapter will undergo yearly review, and will be continuously updated in the light of new evidence.

Guidelines alone will not result in better treatment and care for patients. Local and national implementation is crucial for changes in practice necessary for improvements in treatment and patient care.

Aims and objectives

The objective of this chapter is to promote current best practice for service provision in thoracic anaesthesia services. The guidance is intended for use by anaesthetists with responsibilities for service delivery and healthcare managers.

This guideline does not comprehensively describe clinical best practice in thoracic anaesthesia services but is primarily concerned with the requirements for the provision of a safe, effective, wellled service, which may be delivered by many different acceptable models. The guidance on provision of thoracic anaesthesia services applies to all settings where this is undertaken, regardless of funding. All age groups are included within the guidance unless otherwise stated, reflecting the broad nature of these services.

A wide range of evidence has been rigorously reviewed during the production of this chapter, including recommendations from peer-reviewed publications and national guidance where available. However, both the authors and the CDG agreed that there is a paucity of Level 1 evidence relating to service provision in thoracic anaesthesia services. In some cases, it has been necessary to include recommendations of good practice based on the clinical experience of the CDG. We hope that this document will act as a stimulus to future research.

The recommendations in this chapter will support the RCoA's Anaesthesia Clinical Services Accreditation (ACSA) process.

Scope

Target audience

All staff groups working in thoracic anaesthesia, including (but not restricted to) consultant anaesthetists, staff grade, associate specialist and specialty (SAS) anaesthetists, anaesthetists in training, operating department practitioners and nurses.

Target population

All ages of patients undergoing thoracic anaesthesia.

Healthcare setting

All settings within the hospital in which thoracic anaesthesia are provided.

Clinical management

Key clinical issues that will be covered:

• Key components needed to ensure provision of high quality anaesthetic services for thoracic procedures.

Areas of provision considered:

- levels of provision of service, including (but not restricted to) staffing, equipment, support services and facilities
- areas of special requirement, such as paediatric patients, critically ill patients and pregnant patients
- training and education
- research and audit
- organisation and administration
- patient information.

Exclusions

- Provision of thoracic anaesthesia services provided by a specialty other than anaesthesia.
- Clinical guidelines specifying how healthcare professionals should care for patients.

This guideline relates only to critically ill patients undergoing procedures in the operating theatre. A significant proportion of patients requiring thoracic surgery may need pre or postoperative critical care.

General provision of critical care is outside the scope of this document. Further information, including definitions of levels of critical care can be found in the Faculty of Intensive Care Medicine and Intensive Care Society publication, <u>Guidelines for the Provision of Intensive Care Services.</u>

Introduction

Thoracic anaesthesia services are provided for patients undergoing thoracic procedures. To reflect current practice, these guidelines have been more clearly divided to identify areas of differing requirement.

Thoracic surgery may include surgery on the lungs (including lung transplantation), pleura, thymus, oesophagus and other thoracic structures, as well as the chest wall. Less invasive video assisted surgery is now mainstream practice for most types of surgery, but particularly for those patients with effusions, pneumothoraces and tumours. Robotic thoracic surgery is increasingly available for lung resection. Surgery for patients who have sustained trauma to the thorax is becoming more common and may be integrated into major trauma centres. Interventional large airway services are frequently provided alongside thoracic surgery. Tracheobronchial surgery for congenital abnormalities of the large airways in children is a supraregional service.

Anaesthesia for lung transplantation or major tracheobronchial surgery may sometimes require the use of extracorporeal techniques such as cardiopulmonary bypass. There is an expanding use of extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for acute lung injury that may involve anaesthetists in defined centres.

Recommendations

The grade of evidence and the overall strength of each recommendation are tabulated in <u>Appendix 1</u>.

1 Staffing requirements

- 1.1 Availability of two consultant anaesthetists, or a consultant and senior trainee or SAS doctor should be considered for more complex procedures, such as lung resection requiring ECMO.¹
- 1.2 Continuity of care should be a priority in prolonged procedures and, when this is not possible, a formal documented process with some overlap should be in place for handover of clinical care from one anaesthetist to another.²
- 1.3 The complexity of some procedures neccessitates anaesthetic involvement in multidisciplinary team meetings and this activity should be reflected in job plans.
- 1.4 Consultant or autonomously practising anaesthetists in thoracic units should be responsible for the provision of service, teaching, protocol development, management, research and quality improvement. Adequate time should be allocated in job plans for these activities.
- 1.5 Each unit should have a designated clinical lead (see glossary) anaesthetist for thoracic anaesthetic services. This should be recognised in their job plan and they should be involved in multidisciplinary service planning and governance within the unit.
- 1.6 An appropriately trained consultant or autonomously practising anaesthetist should be available at all times, through a formal thoracic or cardiothoracic anaesthetic on-call rota, particularly if lung transplantation is performed.
- 1.7 Wherever thoracic anaesthesia and surgery are performed there should be a resident anaesthetist available at all times.
- 1.8 When thoracic surgery is performed with the aid extracorporeal life support (ECLS), a trained perfusion scientist must be present in the operating room until ECLS is terminated with arrangements for their return in an emergency.

2 Equipment, services and facilities

Equipment and monitoring

- 2.1 The same level of equipment should be available for thoracic surgery as is available in general theatres as specified in chapter 3. Additional specialty specific monitoring is required and is detailed below.³
- 2.2 The standard of monitoring in the operating theatre should allow the conduct of safe anaesthesia for surgery as detailed by the Association of Anaesthetists standards of monitoring.⁴ Quantitative neuromuscular monitoring Is beneficial during Robotic assisted thoracic surgery (RATS) to avoid inadvertent patient movement and injury.
- 2.3 Specific equipment for securing the patient in lateral decubitus position should be available. This may include a shoulder roll, head ring, Carter Brayne arm support, arm boards and table supports for the front and back of the patient. Straps or elastic tape should also be available where used routinely.
- 2.4 Pillows or similar padding should be available and used to ensure pressure and stress areas are adequately padded.

- 2.5 Commonly used forced air warmers, patient under blankets, fluid warmers, foil hats and temperature monitoring should be available.
- 2.6 The patient table should be capable of movements to support the appropriate positioning of the patient for thoracic surgery.
- 2.7 Flowtron boots or equivalent should be available to support the peripheral circulation of patients under anaesthesia in extreme positions.
- 2.8 Flexible fibreoptic bronchoscopy should be immediately available for all patients where lung isolation is used.⁵
- 2.9 A range of equipment to facilitate lung isolation should be available. This may include left and right double-lumen tracheal tubes, bronchial blockers, dual lumen tracheostomy tubes,⁶ and airway exchange catheters.⁷
- 2.10 Specific bougies designed for use with double-lumen tracheal tubes or airway exchange catheters should be available.
- 2.11 Clamps or specialised angle pieces should be available to isolate the lung during surgery.
- 2.12 Continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) circuits should be available for management of hypoxia during one lung ventilation.
- 2.13 Anaesthetic assistants whether nurse or operating department practitioners, should be trained in the preparation of this specialist equipment to be able to support the anaesthetist in the delivery of lung isolation and one lung ventilation.
- 2.14 The anaesthetic machine should have a ventilator capable of meeting the requirements for protective lung ventilation.
- 2.15 Dedicated equipment for jet ventilation should be available for interventional airway procedures.⁸ Appropriate fittings should be checked and available for connection to rigid bronchoscopes. It should include an ultrasound machine for nerve blocks.
- 2.16 A variety of nerve blocking needles and catheters and appropriate infusion or elastomeric pumps for delivery of local anaesthetic should be available. Protocols should be in place for the delivery and monitoring of these infusions.
- 2.17 A fluid warmer allowing the transfusion of warmed blood products and intravenous fluids should be available.⁹
- 2.18 A rapid infusion device should be readily available and considered for the management of major haemorrhage.⁹
- 2.19 A cell salvage service should be available for patients where massive blood loss is anticipated and for patients who decline blood products. Staff who operate this equipment should receive training and use it frequently to maintain their skills.
- 2.20 Ultrasound should be available for the placement of vascular catheters and should be available for regional anaesthesia techniques.¹⁰

2.21 During the transfer of the patient at the end of surgery to the postoperative care unit there should be access to electrocardiogram (ECG), blood pressure monitoring, pulse oximetry, disconnection alarm for any mechanical ventilation system, fractional inspired oxygen concentration, and end-tidal carbon dioxide.⁴ The vast majority of thoracic patients are extubated on the operating table. Some do not have/require arterial monitoring or inspired oxygen concentration. The monitoring should be appropriate for the procedure, the patient and the distance/time to reach the postoperative unit.

Facilities

- 2.22 Designated thoracic, or cardiothoracic wards should be considered.
- 2.23 Thoracic surgery should ideally be performed in dedicated operating rooms. It is unlikely that an operating room will be kept available at all times for emergencies. Local arrangements for urgent and emergency situations should be in place.
- 2.24 RATS should be delivered in a theatre with adequate capacity to allow comfortable movement of staff around the patient and robot, to safely accommodate all of the additional equipment including robot, operating console and monitoring stack, and to allow sufficient space for rapid removal of the robot in an emergency to facilitate resuscitation.
- 2.25 After major thoracic surgery, patients should be transferred to an appropriately sized, equipped and staffed post-anaesthetic recovery area. Planned or emergency access to intensive or high-dependency care should be available.¹¹
- 2.26 Non-invasive ventilation facilities should be available in the immediate postoperative period, for example bilevel positive airway pressure (BiPAP), CPAP and high-flow nasal oxygen therapy (HFNO). HFNO should be available in theatres for induction and support of anaesthesia as required.¹²
- 2.27 Thoracic surgery units should develop an enhanced recovery after surgery programme.^{13,14,}
- 2.28 Preoperative assessment clinics should be established to optimise patient preparation for surgery and reduce same day cancellations. Smoking cessation support should be available to all thoracic patients.

Support services

- 2.29 Thoracic surgery should be supported by a specialist pain service. Pain relief protocols should be clearly defined for thoracic surgery patients.¹⁵
- 2.30 Physiotherapy services should be available during the preoperative preparation and postoperative care of patients undergoing thoracic surgery. to discuss anaesthetic risk/consent in pre-assessment clinic rather than on the day of admission/surgery.
- 2.31 Access to measurements of cardiorespiratory function should be available for patients undergoing thoracic surgery, including a facility for cardiopulmonary exercise testing and access to echocardiography.
- 2.32 There should be immediate access to expert radiology advice, x-ray facilities and computerised axial tomography services for patients undergoing thoracic surgery.
- 2.33 All anaesthetic equipment should be checked before use in accordance with the Association of Anaesthetists published guidelines. Anaesthetic machine checks should be recorded in a log and on the anaesthetic chart.¹⁶

- 2.34 Where possible, point of care or near patient testing should be used for blood gas analysis, measurement of electrolytes and blood sugar, haemoglobin and coagulation. This might include platelet mapping, thromboelastography or thromboelastometry.¹⁷
- 2.35 Immediate access to expert haematology advice, haematology laboratory services and blood products should be available.

3 Areas of special requirement

Children

- 3.1 Children undergoing thoracic procedures have special requirements and the responsibility for their care should ideally lie with a dedicated paediatric anaesthetist, particularly a cardiothoracic or thoracic paediatric anaesthetist.¹⁸ Surgery should only be performed in a specialist tertiary paediatric centre.
- 3.2 Paediatric thoracic surgical patients should be cared for in a unit designed and equipped to care for paediatric patients and staffed by appropriately trained nurses. Such a unit should meet the standards defined for paediatric critical care, including adequate arrangements for retrieval and transfer of patients.^{19,20}
- 3.3 Anaesthetists should be aware of legislation and good practice guidance²¹ relevant to children and according to the location in the UK.^{22,23,24,25} These documents refer to the rights of the child, child protection processes and consent.

Transplant patients

This includes patients undergoing heart or lung transplantation, and patients who have previously received a transplant who require further thoracic surgery.

- 3.4 Consultants or autonomously practising anaesthetists providing anaesthesia for lung transplantation should have appropriate training and substantial experience of advanced cardiorespiratory monitoring and support.
- 3.5 Thoracic anaesthetists working in non-transplant centres should be familiar with the principles of the anaesthetic management of patients who have previously undergone lung transplantation.²⁶
- 3.6 Patients undergoing lung transplantation may be under the age of 18 years. Anaesthetists must be aware of legislation and good practice guidance relevant to young and vulnerable adults.^{21,27} Children undergoing transplantation should be cared for in a paediatric centre.
- 3.7 Facilities should be available for the storage, administration and routine monitoring of immunosuppressive medication.
- 3.8 Access to specialist support services such as diabetic medicine and dietetics for patients with cystic fibrosis should be available.

Pregnant patients

Patients requiring thoracic surgery during pregnancy will typically be undergoing an urgent or emergency intervention. Indications include chest trauma.

3.9 Thoracic anaesthetists should be familiar with the normal physiological effects of pregnancy and the general principles of obstetric anaesthesia.

- 3.10 Where thoracic surgery is scheduled to occur immediately after Caesarean section, there should be early involvement of obstetricians, specialist obstetric anaesthetists, neonatal paediatricians and midwifery services.
- 3.11 Equipment, services and facilities should be equivalent to those found in an obstetric unit.28
- 3.12 Whenever possible, escalation in care should ideally not lead to the separation of mother and baby.

Chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension

3.12 A subgroup of patients with chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension (CTEPH) will benefit from surgery and should be managed in designated national centres. Currently only one UK centre provides specialist surgical intervention for patients with CTEPH.

Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation

- 3.13 The use of extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) for the management of adults with severe respiratory failure is centralised in a number of specialist cardiothoracic centres. Anaesthetists often institute ECMO and support retrieval of patients from non-specialist hospitals. Anaesthetists providing ECMO should be suitably trained.²⁹
 - 3.14 ECMO support may be used to provide procedural support for selected thoracic surgical procedures such as central airway surgery or severe broncho-pulmonary fistulae such provision requires specialist care and should be centralised to appropriate centres.

Preassessment

- 3.15 In recent years there has been a trend towards assessment of elective patients in preadmission clinics, typically one to two weeks before surgery. This allows routine paperwork and investigations to be completed before admission, permits 'same day' admission and reduces the likelihood of delays or cancellation.³⁰ Anaesthetists should be part of the preadmission clinical pathway, including implementing interventions to promote enhanced recovery, this activity should be reflected in job plans. ^{3,31,32,33}
- 3.16 Patients listed for thoracic surgery should have timely access to pre-operative investigations such as lung function and echocardiography, particularly for tumour resection surgery.

4 Training and education

- 4.1 Thoracic anaesthesia is a 'key unit of training' in both the 2010 intermediate level training in anaesthesia³⁴ and in the newer 2021 Curriculum Stage 2.³⁵ Trainee anaesthetists should be of appropriate seniority to be able to benefit from this area of training. Stage 3 training of the 2021 Curriculum also requires trainees to be proficient in inserting double-lumen airways and bronchial blockers which may require further thoracic surgery experience to complete.³⁶
- 4.2 All trainees should be appropriately clinically supervised at all times.³⁷
- 4.3 Trainees should have an appropriate balance between thoracic anaesthesia and ICU training based on their individual requirements.³⁸
- 4.4 Consultant or autonomously practising anaesthetists intending to deliver anaesthesia for thoracic surgery should have received training to a higher level in thoracic anaesthesia. This should be undertaken as a Special Interest Area in Stage 3 training for a period of 3 6 months in a recognised training centre.³⁶ Those providing critical care for cardiothoracic surgical patients should have received training as described by the Faculty of Intensive Care

Medicine (see Cardiothoracic Critical Care, section 1.6 Guidelines for the Provision of Intensive Care Services).³⁹

- 4.5 Consultant or autonomously practising anaesthetists intending to follow a career in paediatric thoracic or cardiothoracic anaesthesia should have higher training in general paediatric anaesthesia of at least one year followed by a specialist training period of an appropriate duration in the subspeciality.
- 4.6 All staff should have access to adequate time, funding and facilities to undertake and update training that is relevant to their clinical practice, including annual mandatory training such as basic life support.
- 4.7 Fellowship posts should be identified to allow additional training for those who wish to follow a career in thoracic anaesthesia to help ensure there are adequate numbers of skilled anaesthetists in the specialty. These should be suitable for trainees who wish to take time out of training programmes, or for those who are post certificate of completion of training. Such posts should provide similar or enhanced levels of teaching, training and access to study leave as for regular training posts.
- 4.8 Departments should consider providing all newly appointed consultants or autonomously practising anaesthetists, particularly those with limited experience, with a mentor to facilitate their development in thoracic anaesthesia.
- 5 Organisation and administration
- 5.1 Anaesthetic involvement in the leadership of thoracic units should be considered.
- 5.2 There should be a forum for discussion of matters relevant to both surgeons and anaesthetists, for example protocol development and critical incidents.
- 5.3 Clinical protocols should be developed from national guidelines and reviewed on a regular basis.
- 5.4 Anaesthetists should be part of the multidisciplinary team engaged in development and implementation of enhanced recovery programmes in thoracic surgery.^{32,33,40}
- 5.5 Hospitals should have systems in place to facilitate multidisciplinary meetings for thoracic services.
- 5.6 All handovers should contain representatives for the multidisciplinary teams from both theatre and the receiving area and should be documented and structured to ensure continuity of care.⁴¹
- 5.7 The theatre team should all engage in the use of the World Health Organization surgical safety process,⁴² commencing with a team brief, and concluding the list with a team debrief. The debrief should highlight things done well and also identify areas requiring improvement. Teams should consider including the declaration of emergency call procedures specific to the location as part of the team brief.
- 5.8 Hospitals should review their local standards to ensure that they are harmonised with the relevant national safety standards, such as the National Safety Standards for Invasive Procedures in England (NatSSIPs) or the Scottish Patient Safety Programme in Scotland.^{43,44} Organisational leaders are ultimately responsible for implementing local safety standards as necessary.

5.9 There should be sufficient numbers of clinical programmed activities in clinicians' job plans to provide cover for all elective thoracic operating lists and to provide adequate emergency cover.⁴⁵

6 Financial considerations

Part of the methodology used in this chapter in making recommendations is a consideration of the financial impact for each of the recommendations. Very few of the literature sources from which these recommendations have been drawn have included financial analysis.

The vast majority of the recommendations are not new recommendations, but they are a synthesis of already existing recommendations. The current compliance rates with many of the recommendations are unknown, and so it is not possible to calculate the financial impact of the recommendations in this chapter being widely accepted into future practice. It is impossible to make an overall assessment of the financial impact of these recommendations with the currently available information.

6.1 Service developments outside the operating theatre often place unintended demands on anaesthetists. The business plans for such services should include provision for anaesthetic services.

7 Research, audit and quality improvement

- 7.1 Most research in thoracic anaesthesia will be undertaken in specialist cardiothoracic units and should be given high priority.
- 7.2 Regular clinical audit of the work of thoracic anaesthesia services is essential. This might also include submission of data to national audits, such as the ACTACC national audit project, which includes thoracic anaesthesia topics. Information technology support should be available for such activities.^{46,47}
- 7.3 All thoracic units should have regular morbidity and mortality meetings. These meetings should be provided with a list of patients to discuss in advance, an attendance register, and minutes with learning points. Consultants or autonomously practising anaesthetists should attend these meetings and they should be included in job plans. Trainees should be encouraged to attend during their attachments.
- 7.4 Robust procedures should be in place to report and investigate adverse incidents involving equipment, staff or patients. The published outcomes of these investigations should be disseminated to all relevant anaesthetists and others.
- 7.5 Units with preassessment clinics should attempt to take part in research looking at preoptimisation and prehabilitation.

8 Implementation support

The ACSA scheme, run by the RCoA, aims to provide support for departments of anaesthesia to implement the recommendations contained in the GPAS chapters. The scheme provides a set of standards, and asks departments of anaesthesia to benchmark themselves against these using a self-assessment form available on the RCoA website. Every standard in ACSA is based on recommendation(s) contained in GPAS. The ACSA standards are reviewed annually and republished approximately four months after GPAS review and republication to ensure that they reflect current GPAS recommendations. ACSA standards include links to the relevant GPAS recommendations so that departments can refer to them while working through their gap analyses.

Departments of anaesthesia can subscribe to the ACSA process on payment of an appropriate fee. Once subscribed, they are provided with a 'College guide' (a member of the RCoA working

group that oversees the process), or an experienced reviewer to assist them with identifying actions required to meet the standards. Departments must demonstrate adherence to all 'priority one' standards listed in the standards document to receive accreditation from the RCoA. This is confirmed during a visit to the department by a group of four ACSA reviewers (two clinical reviewers, a PatientsVoices@RCoA reviewer and an administrator), who submit a report back to the ACSA committee.

The ACSA committee has committed to building a 'good practice library', which will be used to collect and share documentation such as policies and checklists, as well as case studies of how departments have overcome barriers to implementation of the standards or have implemented the standards in innovative ways.

One of the outcomes of the ACSA process is to test the standards (and by doing so to test the GPAS recommendations) to ensure that they can be implemented by departments of anaesthesia and to consider any difficulties that may result from implementation. The ACSA committee has committed to measuring and reporting feedback of this type from departments engaging in the scheme back to the CDGs updating the guidance via the GPAS technical team.

9 Patient information

The Royal College of Anaesthetists has developed a range of <u>Trusted Information Creator Kitemark</u> accredited patient information resources that can be accessed from the RCoA <u>website</u>. Our main leaflets are now translated into more than 20 languages, including Welsh.

To be able to give valid informed consent, patients need to understand the nature and purpose of the procedure. Full guidance, including on providing information to vulnerable patients, can be found in chapter 2.³ Specific considerations for thoracic surgery are outlined below:

- 9.1 Booklets providing information for patients about their stay in hospital should be available for all patients. This will include the patient information booklets published by the British Thoracic Society on lung disease and the Roy Castle Lung Cancer Foundation for information about lung cancer and its surgical treatment. Sources of information about the anaesthetic should also be available.^{3,48,49,50,51,52}
- 9.2 Information on specific individual risks of invasive monitoring (e.g. risk of injury due to arterial and central venous lines) should be available to patients.
- 9.3 All thoracic units should provide patient information about preoperative smoking cessation, including how to access local services to support patients wishing to quit before their operation.

Areas for future development

RATS is currently undertaken in a small number of UK centres and may provide better surgical outcomes due to improved surgical dexterity and stereoscopic high definition operating conditions. There is currently a paucity of literature supporting improved clinical outcomes or cost effectiveness of RATS and the technique presents unique challenges for anaesthesia.⁵³

Video-assisted thorascopic surgery with regional anaesthesia or spontaneously breathing general anaesthesia is described in the literature and currently being performed by a small number of units in the UK. There are theoretical advantages of avoiding general anaesthesia, lung isolation and positive pressure ventilationand many procedures can be performed without these interventions by a suitably trained team with good patient selection. Evidence of the putative benefits of using these strategies is emerging.^{54,55,56,57}

Abbreviations

ACSA	Anaesthesia Clinical Services Accreditation
ACTACC	Association for Cardiothoracic Anaesthesia and Critical Care
BiPAP	Bilevel positive airway pressure
CDG	Chapter Development Group
CPAP	Continuous positive airway pressure
CQC	Care Quality Commission
CTEPH	Chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension
ECMO	Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation
GMC	General Medical Council
GPAS	Guidelines for the Provision of Anaesthetic Services
GPICS	Guidelines for the Provision of Intensive Care Services
HFNO	High-flow nasal oxygen therapy
HDU	High dependency unit
NHS	National Health Service
NICE	National Institute for Health and Care Excellence
PSC	Professional Standards Committee
QMSG	Quality Management of Service Group
RATS	Robot-assisted thoracic surgery
RCoA	Royal College of Anaesthetists
RCTs	Randomised controlled trials
SAS	Specialty and associate specialist
VATS	Video-assisted thoracic surgery

Glossary

Clinical lead – SAS doctors undertaking lead roles should be autonomously practising doctors who have competence, experience and communication skills in the specialist area equivalent to consultant colleagues. They should usually have experience in teaching and education relevant to the role and they should participate in Quality Improvement and continuing professional development activities. Individuals should be fully supported by their Clinical Director and be provided with adequate time and resources to allow them to effectively undertake the lead role

Immediately - Unless otherwise defined, 'immediately' means within five minutes.

References

- 1 The Anaesthesia Team 2018. Association of Anaesthetists. London 2018 (bit.ly/3kMpnZm)
- 2 Hyder JA, Bohman JK, Kor DJ, et al. Anesthesia care transitions and risk of postoperative complications. Anesth Analg 2016; 122: 134–44
- 3 Guidelines for the Provision of Anaesthesia Services for the Perioperative Care of Elective and Urgent Care Patients. RCoA 2021
- 4 Klein AA, Meek T, Allcock E, Cook TM, Mincher N, Morris C,et al Recommendations for standards of monitoring during anaesthesia and recovery 2021: Guideline from the Association of Anaesthetists. Anaesthesia. 2021;76:1212-1223
- 5 JB Brodsky. Lung separation and the difficult airway (review). Br J Anaes 2009;103 :i66-i75
- 6 Narayanaswamy M et al. Choosing a lung isolation device for thoracic surgery: a randomized trial of three bronchial blockers versus double-lumen tubes. Anesth Analg 2009;108 :1097–101
- 7 Merli G et al. Recommendations for airway control and difficult airway management in thoracic anesthesia and lung separation procedures. *Minerva Anestesiol* 2009;75:59–78, 79–96
- 8 V Pathak et al. Ventilation and anesthetic approaches for rigid bronchoscopy. Ann Am Thorac Soc 2014;11) 628–634
- 9 Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland. AAGBI guidelines: the use of blood components and their alternatives 2016. Anaesthesia 2016; 71: 829-42
- 10 Guidance on the use of ultrasound locating devices for placing central venous catheters. NICE, 2002 (bit.ly/2IOCAR8)
- 11 Shelley B, McCall P, Glass A et al. Association between anaesthetic technique and unplanned admission to intensive care after thoracic lung resection surgery. Anaesthesia 2019; 74: 1121-9
- 12 Lee CC et al. High flow nasal cannula versus conventional oxygen therapy and non-invasive ventilation in adults with acute hypoxemic respiratory failure: a systematic review. *Respir Med* 2016;121:100–8
- 13 Jones NL et al. A review of enhanced recovery for thoracic anaesthesia and surgery. Anaesth 2013;68:179–89
- 14 Batchelor T, Rasburn N, Abdelnour-Berchtold *et al.* Guidelines for enhanced recovery after lung surgery: recommendations of the enhanced after surgery (ERAS) society and the European Society of Thoracic Surgeons (ESTS). *Eur J of Cardiothoracic Surg* 2019; 55: 91-115
- 15 Yeung JH et al. Paravertebral block versus thoracic epidural for patients undergoing thoracotomy. Cochrane Database of Syst Rev 2016;2:CD009121
- 16 Checking anaesthetic equipment. AAGBI, 2012 (bit.ly/3H7AaVj)
- 17 Fahrendorff M et al. The use of viscoelastic haemostatic assays in goal-directing treatment with allogeneic blood products: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med 2017;25 :39
- 18 Guidelines for the provision of paediatric anaesthesia services. RCoA, 2020
- 19 Quality standards for the care of critically ill children (5th edition). PICS, 2015
- 20 Congenital heart disease standards and service specifications. NHSE, 2018 (bit.ly/3wy7mQ)
- 21 0-18 years: guidance for all doctors. GMC, 2007 (http://bit.ly/2oJdALi)
- 22 The Family Proceedings Courts (Children Act 1989) (Amendment) Rules 2004 (SI 2004 No.3376, L25)
- 23 Age of Legal Capacity (Scotland) Act 1991. HMSO (<u>http://bit.ly/1h9V7uU</u>)
- 24 Children (Scotland) Act 1995. HMSO (bit.ly/1h9Ve9O)
- 25 Northern Ireland Child Care law 'the rough guide'. DHSSPSNI, 2004
- 26 Curriculum for a CCT in anaesthetics. RCoA, 2021 (bit.ly/3j7y67P)
- 27 Safeguarding children and young people: roles and competencies for health care staff (intercollegiate document). RCPCH, 2014 (bit.ly/2oc4Obl)
- 28 Guidelines for the provision of anaesthesia services for an obstetric population. RCoA, 2020
- 29 Pineton de Chambrun M, Bréchot N, Combes A. The place of extracorporeal life support in cardiogenic shock. Current Opinion in Critical Care: 2020; 26: 424-431

- 30 R Farasatkish et al. Can preoperative anesthesia consultation clinic help to reduce operating room cancellation rate of cardiac surgery on the day of surgery? *Middle East J Anaesthesiol* 2009;20:93–6
- 31 Arora RC, Brown CH, Sanjanwala RM, McKelvie R. NEW prehabilitation: a 3-way approach to improve postoperative survival and health-related quality of life in cardiac surgery patients. Canadian Journal of Cardiology 2018; 34: 839-49
- 32 Engelman D, Ben A, William J *et al.* Guidelines for Perioperative Care in Cardiac Surgery: Enhanced Recovery After Surgery Society Recommendations. JAMA Surgery 2019; 154: 755-66
- 33 Gregory A, Grant M, Manning M et al. Enhanced Recovery After Cardiac Surgery (ERAS Cardiac) Recommendations: An Important First Step-But There Is Much Work to Be Done. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth 2020; 34: 39-47
- 34 The CCT in anaesthetics intermediate level training (annex C). RCoA, 2010 (https://bit.ly/3i4tLSQ)
- 35 2021 Curriculum Learning Syllabus: Stage 2. RCoA, 2021 (bit.ly/3yZiTtF)
- 36 2021 Curriculum Learning Syllabus: Stage 3. RCoA, 2021 (bit.ly/3ojw17P)
- 37 Working arrangements for consultant anaesthetists in the United Kingdom. AAGBI, 2011 (bit.ly/2meMUE5)
- 38 Joint statement from FICM and RCoA on specialist modules. FICM, 2017 (bit.ly/3QHOIhT)
- 39 Guidelines for the provision of intensive care services. FICM and ICS, 2022 (bit.ly/3yEf0tU)
- 40 Gao S, Barello S, Chen L, Chen C, Che G, Cai K et al. Clinical guidelines on perioperative management strategies for enhanced recovery after lung surgery. *Translational Lung Cancer Research* 2019; 8 (6) 1174-1187
- 41 Denomme J, Isac M, Syed S, Yu J, Centofanti J. Transitions in critical care evaluating the implementation of a handover tool for postoperative cardiac surgery patients. *Canadian Journal of Anesthesia* 2019; 66 \$117-18
- 42 World Alliance for Patient Safety implementation manual surgical safety checklist: safes surgery saves lives. WHO, 2008 (<u>bit.ly/3R6Nk9Q</u>)
- 43 National safety standards for invasive procedures (NatSSIPs). NHSE, 2015 (bit.ly/1K6fRY2)
- 44 Scottish Patient Safety Programme (bit.ly/2lkzPTb)
- 45 Working arrangements for consultant anaesthetists in the United Kingdom. AAGBI, 2011 (bit.ly/2meMUE5)
- 46 Papachristofi O, Sharples LD, Mackay JH *et al.* The contribution of the anaesthetist to risk-adjusted mortality after cardiac surgery. *Anaesth* 2016;71:138–76
- 47 Klein AA et al. The incidence and importance of anaemia in patients undergoing cardiac surgery in the UK the first Association of Cardiothoracic Anaesthetists national audit. Anaesth 2016;71(6):627–35
- 48 Your anaesthetic for heart surgery. RCoA, 2018 (bit.ly/3wAdzfn)
- 49 Anaesthesia for thoracic (lungs and chest wall) procedures. AAGBI
- 50 Surgery for lung cancer. Roy Castle Lung Cancer Foundation, Liverpool 2016 (bit.ly/3DiSkT7)
- 51 Joint briefing: smoking and surgery. Action on Smoking and Health, 2016 (bit.ly/3Dj7IEI)
- 52 Your anaesthetic for heart surgery. Information for patients and carers. RCoA, 2020 (bit.ly/3bOWvsv)
- 53 Campos J, Ueda K. Update on anesthetic complications of robotic thoracic surgery. *Minerva* Anestesiologica 2014;80(1):83–8
- 54 Gonzalez-Rivas D et al. Non-intubated video-assisted thorascopic lung resections: the future of thoracic surgery? Euro J Cardiothorac Surg 2016;49(3):721–31
- 55 Iwata Y et al. Anesthetic management of nonintubated video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery using epidural anesthesia and dexmedetomidine in three patients with severe respiratory dysfunction. J Anesth 2016;30(2):324–7
- 56 Guo Z et al. Video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery segmentectomy by non-intubated or intubated anesthesia: a comparative analysis of short-term outcome. J Thorac Dis 2016;8(3):359–68
- 57 Irons JF et al. Intubated versus nonintubated general anesthesia for video-assisted thoracoscopic surgerya case-control study. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth 2017;31(2):411–7

Appendix 1: Recommendations grading

The grading system is outlined in the methodology section of this chapter. The grades for each of the recommendations in this chapter are detailed in the table below:

Recommendation Number	Level of Evidence	Strength of Recommendation
1.1	С	Moderate
1.1	С	Moderate
1.2	С	Moderate
1.3	GPP	Moderate
1.4	GPP	Moderate
1.5	GPP	Moderate
1.6	GPP	Strong
1.7	GPP	strong
1.8	GPP	Strong
2.1	С	Strong
2.2	С	Strong
2.3	GPP	Strong
2.4	GPP	Strong
2.5	GPP	Strong
2.6	GPP	Strong
2.7	GPP	Strong
2.8	GPP	Strong
2.9	С	Strong
2.10	GPP	Strong
2.11	GPP	Strong
2.12	GPP	Strong
2.13	GPP	Strong
2.14	GPP	Strong

2.15	GPP	Moderate
2.16	GPP	Moderate
2.17	С	Strong
2.18	С	Strong
2.19	GPP	Strong
2.20	С	Moderate
2.21	С	Moderate
2.22	GPP	Moderate
2.23	GPP	Moderate
2.24	GPP	Moderate
2.25	С	Moderate
2.26	С	Moderate
2.27	С	Moderate
2.28	GPP	Moderate
2.29	С	Moderate
2.3	GPP	Moderate
2.31	GPP	Moderate
2.32	GPP	Moderate
2.33	С	Strong
3.34	С	Moderate
2.35	GPP	Moderate
3.1	С	Strong
3.2	С	Strong
3.3	С	Strong
3.4	GPP	Strong
3.5	С	Moderate

3.6	С	Mandatory
3.7	GPP	Aspirational
3.8	GPP	Aspirational
3.9	GPP	Moderate
3.10	GPP	Moderate
3.11	С	Moderate
3.12	GPP	Aspirational
3.13	GPP	Strong
3.14	С	Strong
3.15	GPP	Strong
3.16	В	Strong
3.17	GPP	Strong
4.1	С	Strong
4.2	С	Strong
4.3	С	Aspirational
4.4	С	Strong
4.5	GPP	Strong
4.6	GPP	Strong
4.7	GPP	Moderate
4.8	GPP	Moderate
5.1	GPP	Moderate
5.2	GPP	Moderate
5.3	GPP	Moderate
5.4	С	Moderate
5.5	GPP	Moderate
5.6	GPP	Moderate

5.7	GPP	Strong
5.8	С	Strong
5.9	С	Strong
6.1	GPP	Strong
7.1	GPP	Moderate
7.2	С	Moderate
7.3	GPP	Moderate
7.4	GPP	Moderate
7.5	GPP	Moderate
9.1	С	Strong
9.2	GPP	Strong
9.3	GPP	Strong
9.4	GPP	Strong

About these guidelines

Methodology

The process by which this chapter has been developed has been documented within the GPAS Chapter Development Process Document, which is available on request.

The evidence included in this chapter is based on a systematic search of the literature. Abstracts were independently screened by two investigators and reviewed against inclusion and exclusion criteria. Data were extracted by one investigator in accordance with predefined criteria. The review objective was to determine the key components needed to ensure provision of high-quality perioperative services for patients who have undergone surgery and/or interventions which involve anaesthesia.

Search strategy

Searches were performed on Embase (1980 to 2015), Ovid MEDLINE (1946 to present), CINAHL and Cochrane Library, for the literature search strategy, outcomes, databases, criteria for inclusion and exclusion of evidence (for the full Neuroanaesthetic services chapter search protocol please contact the RCoA). A hand search of the literature was also conducted by the authors using the reference lists of relevant original articles and review articles.

The literature search was performed in June 2022.

The authors and researcher independently reviewed the abstracts and titles of the studies found in the initial search. After agreement on the primary selection of papers, full-text versions were accessed and reviewed against the following predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria. The full-

text papers were also reviewed by the CDG for suitability. The final list of publications used can be found in the references.

Inclusion criteria

The literature review considered studies that included the following patient population with all of the inclusion criteria listed below:

- all patients undergoing elective or emergency anaesthesia
- all staff groups working within Neuroanaesthetic care, under the responsibility of an anaesthetic clinical director, including (but not restricted to) consultant anaesthetists, autonomously practising anaesthetists, anaesthetists in training, nurses, operating department practitioners, surgeons, pharmacists, general practitioners, radiologists and radiographers.

Exclusion criteria

The literature review used the following exclusion criteria:

• provision of neuroanaesthesia provided by a speciality other than anaesthesia.

Data extraction and analysis

Data were extracted by the authors using a proforma. The study characteristics data included:

- the journal and country of publication
- the number of patients recruited into the study
- the study design
- patient characteristics
- outcome data
- the logic of the argument
- author's conclusions
- reviewer's comments.

The patient characteristics data extracted were: age, gender and type of surgery. The analysis considers studies that included any clinical outcome, including (but not restricted to) survival, length of stay – critical care or hospital, morbidity, adverse effects and complications.

The results of the literature review can be seen below:

Preferred Reporting Systems for Systematic Review and Meta-analysis (PRISMA) flow chart



The evidence that is included in this chapter has been graded according to a grading system adapted from NICE and outlined below:

Level	Type of evidence	Grade	Evidence
la	Evidence obtained from a single large/multicentre randomised controlled trial, a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials or a systematic review with a low risk of bias	A	At least one randomised controlled trial as part of a body of literature of overall good quality and consistency addressing the specific recommendation (evidence level I) without extrapolation
lb	Evidence obtained from meta- analyses, systematic reviews of RCTs or RCTs with a high risk of bias	В	Well-conducted clinical studies but no high-quality randomised clinical trials on the topic of recommendation (evidence levels lb, II or III); or extrapolated from
lla	Evidence obtained from at least one well-designed controlled study without randomisation		level la evidence
llb	Evidence obtained from at least one well-designed quasi-experimental study		
llc	Evidence obtained from case control or cohort studies with a high risk of confounding bias		
III	Evidence obtained from well- designed non-experimental descriptive studies, such as comparative studies, correlation studies and case studies		
IV	Evidence obtained from expert committee reports or opinions and/or clinical experiences of respected authorities	С	Expert committee reports or opinions and/or clinical experiences of respected authorities (evidence level IV) or extrapolated from level I or II evidence. This grading indicates that directly applicable clinical studies of good quality are absent or not readily available.
UG	Legislative or statutory requirements	м	This grading indicates that implementation of this recommendation is a statutory requirement, or is required by a regulatory body (e.g. CQC, GMC)
		GPP	Recommended good practice based on the clinical experience of the CDG.

Adapted from Eccles M, Mason J. How to develop cost-conscious guidelines. *Health Technology Assessment* 2001;5(16) and Mann T. Clinical guidelines: using clinical guidelines to improve patient care within the NHS. *Department of Health*, London 1996.

Strengths and limitations of body of evidence

Most of the published evidence on perioperative care anaesthesia services is descriptive. There are publications describing aspects of this process based on expert opinion.

The limitations of the evidence are:

- the 'unmeasurables' (attitudes, behaviour, motivation, leadership, teamwork)
- few randomised controlled trials (RCTs); studies frequently use mixed populations of emergency and elective patients, or all emergency patients grouped together despite different underlying diagnoses
- papers often examine a single intervention within complex system or bundle
- papers are often examining small numbers and/or patients from a single centre
- poor use of outcome measures, frequently concentrating on easily measured short-term outcomes which are not patient centred
- generally, a paucity of long-term follow up
- there is no standard definition used of 'high risk'
- use of different risk-scoring systems
- decrease in outcome over time and geography when 'good papers' are used in quality improvement programmes
- application of international studies in systems with either more or less resources than the UK into NHS practice
- older studies may no longer be applicable within the NHS
- very few studies included any analysis of financial implications
- evidence was mainly based on literature graded III and IV.

Methods used to arrive at recommendations

Recommendations were initially drafted based on the evidence by the authors for the chapter. These were discussed with the CDG, and comments were received both on the content and the practicality of the recommendations. The level of evidence that was the basis for each recommendation was graded according to a grading system, and the recommendation was then graded taking into account the strength of the evidence and the clinical importance using a recommendations criteria form.

Strength	Type of evidence	Wording
Mandatory	The evidence supporting the recommendation includes at least one with an 'M' grading	Wording should reflect the mandatory nature of the recommendation i.e. 'must'
Strong	Confidence that for the vast majority of people, the action will do more good than harm (or more harm than good)	Wording should be clearly directive 'should' or 'should not'
Weak	The action will do more good than harm for most patients, but may include caveats on the quality or size of evidence base or patient preferences	Wording should include 'should be considered'
Aspirational	While there is some evidence that implementation of the recommendation could improve patient care, either the evidence or the improvement is not proven or substantial	Wording should include 'could'
Equipoise	There is no current evidence on this recommendation's effect on patient care	Wording should include 'there is no evidence of this recommendation's effect on patient care'

Recommendations were worded using the following system of categorisation:

Consultation

The chapter has undergone several rounds of consultation. The multidisciplinary CDG formed the first part of the consultation process. The authors and GPAS Editorial board identified key stakeholder groups. Where stakeholders are represented by an association or other medical college, they were asked to nominate delegates to join the CDG. The GPAS Chapter Development Process Document (available on request) explains the recruitment process for those CDG members who were not directly nominated. The CDG members were involved in drafting the recommendations, and were provided with an opportunity to comment on all subsequent drafts of the chapter.

The chapter underwent peer review. Peer reviewers were identified by the GPAS Editorial Board, Clinical Quality and Research Board (CQRB) or through the Clinical Leaders in Anaesthesia Network. Nominees were either anaesthetists of consultant grade or were nominated by a key stakeholder group. Nominees had not had any involvement in the development of GPAS to date and were asked to comment upon a late draft of the chapter.

Following peer review, the chapter was reviewed by the College's CQRB and PatientsVoices@RCoA. Comments from all groups were considered and incorporated into a consultation draft.

The consultation draft of this chapter was circulated for public consultation from TBC. As well as being made available on the College's website and promoted via Twitter and the President's newsletter to members, the draft was also circulated to all key stakeholder groups identified by the authors and the College. A list of organisations contacted by the College is available from the GPAS team at the College: <u>GPAS@rcoa.ac.uk</u>.

The editorial independence of GPAS

The development of GPAS is wholly funded by the Royal College of Anaesthetists. However, only the GPAS technical team and the GPAS researcher are paid directly by the College for their work on GPAS: the GPAS Editors' employing organisation receives 2 programmed activities (PA) backfill funding. All funding decisions by the College are made by the chief executive officer, in collaboration with the senior management team and College Council.

The authors of the chapters are all fellows of the Royal College of Anaesthetists. Members of College Council cannot act as chair of any CDG, as this individual has the deciding vote under the consensus method of decision making used in the chapters. Where College Council members have been involved in chapter development, this has been declared and recorded.

All persons involved in the development of GPAS are required to declare any pecuniary or nonpecuniary conflict of interest, in line with the GPAS conflict of interest policy as described in the GPAS Chapter Development Process Document (available on request). Any conflicts of interest are managed on a case-by-case basis to maintain the transparency and impartiality of the GPAS document. The conflicts, and the way they were managed, are outlined at the beginning of the chapter.

The role of the GPAS Editorial Board and CQRB

The overall development of the entire GPAS document is overseen by the CQRB of the Royal College of Anaesthetists, which includes representatives from all grades of anaesthetist and from clinical directors, and which also has PatientsVoices@RCoA representation.

Responsibility for managing the scope of the document and providing clinical oversight to the project technical team is delegated by the CQRB to the GPAS Editorial Board, which includes individuals responsible for the various internal stakeholders (see above for membership). On the inclusion/exclusion of specific recommendations within each chapter, the Editorial Board can only provide advice to the authors. In the event of disagreement between the authors, the majority rules consensus method is used, with the GPAS Editor holding the deciding vote.

Both of these groups, along with the PatientsVoices@RCoA, review each chapter and provide comment prior to public consultation and are responsible for signoff before final publication. In the event of disagreement, consensus is reached using the majority rules consensus method, with the chair of CQRB holding the deciding vote.

Updating these guidelines

This chapter will be updated for republication in January 2024.

Guidelines will be updated on an annual basis. The researcher will conduct the literature search again using the same search strategy to uncover any new evidence and members of the public will be able to submit new evidence to the GPAS project team. Where new evidence is uncovered, the lead author will decide whether the recommendations that were originally made are still valid in light of this new evidence.

If new evidence contradicts or strengthens existing recommendations, the authors decide whether or not to involve the remainder of the CDG in revising the recommendations accordingly.

If new evidence agrees with existing recommendations, then a reference may be added but no further action is required.

If there is no new evidence then no action is required.

This chapter is due to be fully reviewed for publication in January 2028.

Every five years guidance will be submitted to a full review involving reconvening the CDG (or appointment of a new, appropriately qualified CDG), and the process described in the methodology section of this chapter begins again.



Royal College of Anaesthetists, Churchill House, 35 Red Lion Square, London WC1R 4SG 020 7092 1500 | www.rcoa.ac.uk/gpas | GPAS@rcoa.ac.uk

© Royal College of Anaesthetists (RCoA)