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Medico-legal implications of GPAS guidelines

GPAS guidelines are not intended to be construed or to serve as a standard of clinical care. Standards of care are determined on the basis of all clinical data available for an individual case and are subject to change as scientific knowledge and technology advance and patterns of care evolve. Adherence to guideline recommendations will not ensure successful outcome in every case, nor should they be construed as including all proper methods of care or excluding other acceptable methods of care aimed at the same results. The ultimate judgement must be made by the appropriate healthcare professional(s) responsible for clinical decisions regarding a particular clinical procedure or treatment plan. This judgement should only be arrived at following discussion of the options with the patient, covering the diagnostic and treatment choices available. It is advised, however, that significant departures from the national guideline or any local guidelines derived from it should be fully documented in the patient’s case notes at the time the relevant decision is taken.

Promoting equality and addressing health inequalities

The Royal College of Anaesthetists is committed to promoting equality and addressing health inequalities. Throughout the development of these guidelines we have:

- Given due regard to the need to eliminate discrimination, harassment and victimisation, to advance equality of opportunity, and to foster good relations between people who share a relevant protected characteristic (as cited under the Equality Act 2010) and those who do not share it; and
- Given regard to the need to reduce inequalities between patients in access to, and outcomes from healthcare services and to ensure services are provided in an integrated way where this might reduce health inequalities.

GPAS guidelines in context

The GPAS documents should be viewed as ‘living documents’. The GPAS guideline development, implementation and review should be seen not as a linear process, but as a cycle of interdependent activities. These in turn are part of a range of activities to translate evidence into practice, set standards and promote clinical excellence in patient care.

Each of the GPAS chapters should be seen as independent but interlinked documents.

Each chapter will undergo yearly review, and will be continuously updated in the light of new evidence.

Guidelines alone will not result in better treatment and care for patients. Local and national implementation is crucial for changes in practice necessary for improvements in treatment and patient care.

Aims and Objectives

This chapter is intended to define the standards for the provision of anaesthetic care in all consultant-led maternity units in the UK. The guidelines are intended to be used by anaesthetists and healthcare managers with service delivery responsibilities and to describe safe standards of care for the women in their units.

These recommendations are not intended to describe the best practice for clinical care; the main focus is on outlining requirements for a service to be safe and effective and to ensure robust governance and training structures to support the provision of care.
These guidelines have been developed using a process accredited by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence in accordance with their criteria for guidance production.\textsuperscript{\textit{\textdagger}}They are evidence-based and peer-reviewed. One should note that there are few randomised, controlled trials available in the field of obstetric anaesthetic service provision; the vast majority of data come from retrospective cohort studies and expert opinion. Our analysis of the available literature combined with other national and international guidelines and recommendations have been considered in formulating this chapter. We have also given consideration to recommendations made following enquiries and investigations where there have been failings in care with a view to ensuring that lessons are learnt from these tragic cases.\textsuperscript{2,3,4}

Anaesthetists may be involved at all stages of a woman’s pregnancy and there are therefore recommendations in this chapter relevant to the antenatal, peri-partum and postpartum periods.

While women with risk factors can and should be identified during their pregnancies, it is possible for low risk women to develop acute and potentially serious conditions requiring the need for rapid intervention. There is no “one size fits all” in terms of maternity units; there is evidence of considerable variation in the care delivered across the UK.\textsuperscript{5} Units vary in delivery rates, acuity and dependency of the women they care for but they should all be prepared to manage acute medical or obstetric deterioration in any woman. Our aim is for our recommendations to ensure that all units are fit for this purpose and, through their implementation, prevent harm to women.

We know that vulnerable high risk groups of maternity patients exist: women who do not speak English or who are born outside the UK; some ethnic minority groups; women in abusive relationships; and those with substance abuse issues. In addition, those with serious pre-existing medical or psychiatric conditions also have a higher mortality rates than that for the general pregnant population.\textsuperscript{6,7} It is our aim to provide recommendations that address the specific needs of these (and all) women to define a service that reduces their exposure to that risk of harm.

Any service needs a system of “checks and measures”; we have made recommendations for monitoring the care being provided. It is important to understand though that this goes beyond performing routine audits and requires the development and maintenance of a local commitment towards high quality care and a strong safety culture in maternity units. This commitment comes from the hospital management as well as the maternity unit, who must provide the unit with adequate resources to implement this system. We must never fail to learn from previous failings.

Scope

Objective

To provide and describe current best practice in the provision of anaesthetic services for an obstetric population supported by evidence and national recommendations where available, for anaesthetists with responsibilities for service delivery and healthcare managers.

\textsuperscript{1} NICE. Accreditation process manual. NICE: London; 2014 (\textit{http://bit.ly/2exc5hg})
\textsuperscript{2} Kirkup, B. The report of the Morecambe Bay Investigation. 2015 (\textit{http://bit.ly/1DToIFC})
Target Population

- All pregnant women who require anaesthetic input for:
  - Antenatal anaesthetic input
  - Labour
  - Peripartum operative procedures
  - High dependency care on labour ward
  - Obstetric procedures during pregnancy e.g. Cervical sutures, Fetal surgery

- Anaesthetic departments that provide anaesthesia or analgesia input as detailed above

Healthcare Setting

- All settings in which obstetric anaesthesia or analgesia services are provided.

Clinical Management

Key issues that will be covered

- Key components for the provision of anaesthesia or analgesia services for an obstetric population
- Key components needed to ensure provision of high quality anesthetic services for an obstetric population
- Areas of provision considered:
  - Levels of provision of service, including (but not restricted to) staffing, equipment, support services and facilities
  - Areas of special requirement, such as maternal critical care and the morbidly obese parturient
  - Training and education
  - Research, Audit and Quality Improvement
  - Organisation and administration
  - Patient Information and Communication

Issues that will not be covered

- Clinical guidelines specifying how healthcare professionals should care for patients
- National-level issues

Exclusions

- Provision of obstetric services by a specialty other than anaesthesia
- Pregnant women who require non-obstetric surgical intervention outside the immediate peri-partum period

Introduction

Pregnancy and the time around the birth of a baby is usually an exciting time in the life of a family but it also brings with it potential risks to mother and baby. We are fortunate in the United Kingdom that we have achieved low maternal mortality rates compared with the worldwide average and we have continued to see these fall significantly over the last decade.\(^ {4,6,7}\) This may be attributed to

---

general improvements in healthcare and through national learning from the confidential review of
every maternal death over the last seven decades. It has allowed us to determine where
deficiencies in service provision have led to substandard or poor care and to have identified areas
where improvements to care can be made to reduce the risk for mothers and babies. It is vital that
we use this shared learning and the current available evidence to shape our provision of care to
pregnant and recently delivered women.

Working on delivery units can be incredibly rewarding for obstetric anaesthetists and the wider
multidisciplinary team but it can also be highly challenging and rapidly changing. It is not possible to
identify all women or babies who are at risk of rapid deterioration but we need to be able to
respond appropriately and safely in the event of an emergency. Obstetrics accounts for a large
proportion of the emergency surgery performed in hospitals.\textsuperscript{8,9} We have emphasized in these
recommendations the importance of training and working as a team when delivering care in
maternity units. This is truly a multidisciplinary workforce, where obstetricians, anaesthetists,
neonatologists, midwives, theatre staff, anaesthetic assistants, and many others work closely
alongside each other in situations that can be stressful. To ensure that teams can function
effectively in this environment they need the appropriate infrastructure and necessary resources to
meet these expectations.

The anaesthetist is now a well-recognised and busy member of the delivery unit team.
Approximately 60\% of women required anaesthetic intervention around the time of delivery of their
baby, but the total anaesthetic involvement is higher. It is currently difficult to quantify other non-
anaesthetic procedures that anaesthetists carry out on the delivery suite.\textsuperscript{10} Approximately 1 in 4
women deliver by caesarean section and many more require anaesthetic care for operative/assisted
deliveries and procedures during pregnancy or around the time of delivery.\textsuperscript{11} Anaesthetists are also
involved in planning the care of high risk women during the antenatal period. While most women
are considered low risk at the start of their pregnancies, the obstetric population is changing. In
2015, the largest percentage increase in fertility rates was for women aged 40 and over and the
incidence in obesity in this country continues to rise.\textsuperscript{12,13} More women with significant pre-existing
conditions, e.g. congenital cardiac disease, are proceeding with their pregnancies and they require
specialised services to support them during this time. These recommendations outline areas where
tertiary units are expected to take a lead role but as a pregnant woman may present to any unit,
they should all be ready to recognise and manage the acutely deteriorating woman with pathways in
place to obtain expert guidance when required.

\textsuperscript{7} Centre for maternal and child enquiries (CMACE). Saving mothers’ lives: reviewing maternal deaths to make motherhood safer: 2006-8. The eighth report on confidential enquiries into maternal death in the United Kingdom. BJOG 2011; 118(1): 1-203
\textsuperscript{8} Jonker WR, et al. Who operates when, where and on whom? A survey of anaesthetic-surgical activity in Ireland as denominator of NAP5. Anaesthesia 2014; 69 (9) 961-698
\textsuperscript{9} Plaat F, Lucas N, Bogod DG. AAGA in obstetric anaesthesia. In: Accidental Awareness during General Anaesthesia in the United Kingdom and Ireland (pg 133-143). 5\textsuperscript{th} National Audit Project (NAP5) of the Royal College of Anaesthetists and Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland 2014 (http://bit.ly/1tyQVx)
Maternity services are subject to considerable patient expectation; through media, internet and educational resources, women and their families are often well-informed about what to expect at delivery and many are keen for a particular mode of delivery or type of analgesia. We have to deliver an anaesthetic service that is safe and effective and that also aims to meet these expectations where appropriate.

We are expecting further National Institute for Health and Care Excellence guidelines on intrapartum care for the high risk maternity population in 2017 along with an update to the joint Royal College of Anaesthetists, Obstetric Anaesthetists’ Association, Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists and Royal College of Midwives document ‘Providing equity of critical and maternity care for the critically ill pregnant or recently pregnant woman’. These are likely to influence the provision of care for high risk and acutely unwell women in the months to come after publication of this year’s guidelines.

**Recommendations**

The grade of evidence and the overall strength of each recommendation are tabulated in Appendix I.

**Glossary**

**Busy units** - The busyness of a unit cannot be defined solely by the number of births. For the anaesthetic department, the number of anaesthetic interventions - defined as the number of regional anaesthetics (epidural, spinal, CSE) where the indication was 'labour', the number of Caesarean sections, instrumental deliveries and any other procedure performed in the operating theatre, the number of critically ill women requiring anaesthetic input and the number of women seen in the anaesthetic antenatal clinics - may provide the best proxy measure to judge the busyness of the unit. In this document, the term ‘busier units’ is used to denote those units that, due to the number of anaesthetic interventions and/or other local factors, require higher levels of resources in order to deliver the necessary anaesthetic service.

**Duty anaesthetist**: The term ‘duty anaesthetist’ is used here to denote the anaesthetist who is the doctor immediately responsible for the provision of obstetric anaesthetic services during the duty period.

**Immediately**: Unless otherwise defined, ‘immediately’ means within five minutes.

**Obstetric unit**: an NHS clinical location in which care is provided by a team, with obstetricians taking primary professional responsibility for women at high risk of complications during labour and birth. Midwives offer care to all women in an obstetric unit, whether or not they are considered at high or low risk, and take primary responsibility for women with straightforward pregnancies during labour and birth. Diagnostic and treatment medical services including obstetric, neonatal and anaesthetic care are available on site, 24 hours a day.

---

16 Yentis SM, Robinson PN. Definitions in obstetric anaesthesia: how should we measure anaesthetic workload and what is ‘epidural rate’? Anaesth 1999;54:958–962.
17 Birthplace in England Research Programme
Obstetrician-led care: Care in labour where the obstetrician is responsible for the woman’s care. This should only be provided in an obstetric-led unit in a hospital. Much of the woman’s care will still be provided by a midwife.  

Obstetric team: The term ‘obstetric team’ is used here to denote all the members of the multidisciplinary team that work in the maternity unit.

Supervising consultant: The term ‘supervising consultant’ is used here to denote the consultant anaesthetist with responsibility for the delivery of obstetric anaesthetic services during the duty period.

1 Staffing Requirements

The duty anaesthetist

The duty anaesthetist’s focus is the provision of care to women in labour or who, in the antenatal or postpartum period, require medical or surgical attention. The duty anaesthetist will be a consultant, an anaesthetic trainee or a Staff Grade, Associate Specialist and Specialty (SAS) doctor.

1.1 To act as duty anaesthetist without direct supervision from a consultant, this anaesthetist should meet the basic training specifications and have attained the RCoA’s Initial Assessment of Competency in Obstetric Anaesthesia.

1.2 There should be a duty anaesthetist immediately available for the obstetric unit 24 hours per day. This person’s focus is the provision of care to women in labour or who, in the antenatal or postpartum period, require medical or surgical attention; the role should not include undertaking elective work during the duty period.

1.3 Busier units should consider having two duty anaesthetists available 24 hours per day, in addition to the supervising consultant.

1.4 In units offering a 24-hour neuraxial analgesia service, the duty anaesthetist should be resident on the hospital site where neuraxial analgesia is provided (not at a nearby hospital).

1.5 The duty anaesthetist should have a clear line of communication to the supervising consultant at all times.

1.6 It is recognised that in smaller units, it may be difficult to have a duty anaesthetist exclusively dedicated to the delivery unit. If the duty anaesthetist has other responsibilities, these should be of a nature that would allow the activity to be immediately delayed or interrupted should obstetric work arise. Under these circumstances, the duty anaesthetist should be able to delegate care of their non-obstetric patient immediately to be able to respond to a request for care of obstetric patients. Therefore, for example, they would not simultaneously be able to be a member of the on-call resuscitation team. If the duty anaesthetist covers general theatres, there should be another anaesthetist to take over immediately should they be needed on the delivery suite.

1.7 Adequate time for formal handover between shifts should be built into the timetable.

---

18 Intrapartum care for healthy women and babies. NICE, 2014.
19 Staffing of obstetric theatres - a consensus statement. College of Operating Department Practitioners, The Royal College of Midwives, Association for Perioperative Practitioners. CODP, London, 2009
1.8 A structured tool should be considered to facilitate handover.\textsuperscript{22}

1.9 The duty anaesthetist should participate in delivery suite ward rounds.\textsuperscript{23}

1.10 Duty anaesthetists’ rotas should be designed to minimise fatigue.\textsuperscript{24}

**The lead consultant obstetric anaesthetist**

1.11 Every obstetric unit should have a designated lead anaesthetist, who should be a consultant with specific programmed activities allocated for this role.

1.12 The lead consultant obstetric anaesthetist should be responsible for the overall delivery of the service, which includes ensuring evidence-based guidelines and protocols are in use and are up-to-date, monitoring staff training and workforce planning, service risk management, meeting national specifications and auditing the service against these agreed standards, including anaesthetic complication rates.

1.13 The lead consultant obstetric anaesthetist should ensure representation of the anaesthetic department at multidisciplinary meetings for service planning, e.g. Labour Ward Forum.\textsuperscript{21}

1.14 The lead consultant obstetric anaesthetist should ensure that there are ongoing quality improvement projects in place to maintain and improve the care in their units.\textsuperscript{25}

**Consultant responsibilities**

1.15 As a basic minimum for any obstetric unit, a consultant anaesthetist should be allocated to ensure consultant cover for the full daytime working week (equating to Monday to Friday, morning and afternoon sessions being staffed).\textsuperscript{21} This is to provide urgent and emergency care, not to undertake elective work.

1.16 In busier units, increased levels of consultant cover should be considered, reflecting the level of consultant obstetrician staffing in the unit.\textsuperscript{26} This may involve extending the working day to include consultant presence into the evening session and/or increasing consultant numbers.

1.17 Additional consultant programmed activities should be allocated for:

- Elective Caesarean deliveries
- Antenatal anaesthetic clinics (or to review referrals if no formal clinic is in place).\textsuperscript{21}

1.18 In units where trainee anaesthetists work a full or partial shift system, and /or rotate through the department every 3 months (or more frequently), provision of additional consultant programmed activities should be considered to allow training and supervision into the evening.\textsuperscript{27}

1.19 There should be a named consultant responsible for every elective Caesarean delivery operating list. This consultant should be immediately available.

1.20 Consultant support should be available 24 hours per day and should not be more than half an

\textsuperscript{22} Dharmadasa A, et al. An audit of the efficacy of a structured handover tool in obstetric anaesthesia. IJOA 2014:23(2); 151-156.


\textsuperscript{24} Fatigue and Anaesthetists. AAGBI, London 2014.


\textsuperscript{26} The Future Workforce in Obstetrics and Gynaecology England and Wales. RCOG, 2009

\textsuperscript{27} Working time directive 2009 and shift working – ways forward for anaesthetic services, training doctors and patient safety. RCoA, London 2007 (www.rcoa.ac.uk/node/3066).
hour away from the delivery suite at any time.

1.21 Staff working in the maternity unit should know how to contact the anaesthetic consultant; the name(s) of the consultant(s) covering the delivery suite should be prominently displayed and contact numbers readily available.

1.22 The anaesthetist caring for the woman should not be responsible for neonatal resuscitation and the care of the newborn baby.¹⁹

Anaesthetic assistance

1.23 Women requiring anaesthesia in the peripartum period should have at least the same standards of perioperative care as for any surgical patient.²⁸

1.24 The anaesthetist should have a competent trained assistant immediately available for the duration of any anaesthetic intervention and this practitioner should not have any other duties.²⁸

1.25 All theatre staff acting as anaesthetic assistants should comply fully with current national qualification standards and be deemed to have attained and maintained the relevant competencies to perform the role (an example of these competencies is referenced).²⁹,³⁰

1.26 Anaesthetic assistants who cover obstetrics should demonstrate additional knowledge and skills specific to the care of pregnant women.²⁶

1.27 Anaesthetists and anaesthetic assistants working independently in obstetric theatres, and on the delivery suite, should be familiar with the environment and working practices of that unit and work there on a frequent basis to maintain that familiarity.

Post-anaesthetic recovery staff

1.28 All women requiring postoperative recovery care should receive the same standard of care as the non-obstetric postoperative population.³⁰,³¹,³²,³³

1.29 All theatre and post-anaesthetic recovery staff looking after the obstetric population should be familiar with the area for recovery of obstetric patients and be experienced in the use of the different early warning scoring systems for obstetric patients. They should have been trained to the same standard as for all recovery nurses, maintained these skills through regular work on the theatre recovery unit, and undergone a supernumerary preceptorship in this environment before undertaking unsupervised work.³⁰,³³

Other members of the team

1.30 An adult resuscitation team trained in resuscitation of the pregnant patient should be immediately available.³⁴

1.31 There should be secretarial support for the department of anaesthesia, including the obstetric

---

²⁸ The Anaesthesia Team. AAGBI, London, 2010


³² Guidance on the provision of anaesthesia services for post-operative care. RCoA, London 2016


anaesthetic service.

1.32 Provision should be made to ensure access to the appropriate allied healthcare professionals to support women who require their services, such as pharmacists, dietitians, outreach nurses and physiotherapists.\textsuperscript{15}

1.33 Locum anaesthetists should be assessed to ensure their competence prior to undertaking work without direct supervision.\textsuperscript{21}

2 Equipment, Services and Facilities

2.1 Blood gas analysis (with the facility to measure serum lactate) and the facility for rapid estimation of haemoglobin and blood sugar should be available on the delivery suite.

2.2 Delivery suite rooms should be equipped with monitoring equipment for the measurement of non-invasive blood pressure, oxygen saturation and heart rate.

2.3 Delivery suite rooms should have oxygen, suction equipment and access to resuscitation equipment.

2.4 Delivery suite rooms must comply with COSHH and guidelines on workplace exposure limits on waste gas pollution.\textsuperscript{35,36}

2.5 The standard of monitoring in the obstetric theatre should allow the conduct of safe anaesthesia for surgery as detailed by the AAGBI standards of monitoring.\textsuperscript{37}

2.6 A fluid warmer allowing the warmed transfusion of blood products and intravenous fluids should be available.\textsuperscript{38}

2.7 A rapid infusion device should be available for the management of major haemorrhage.\textsuperscript{38}

2.8 A cell salvage service should be available for cases where massive blood loss is anticipated and for patients who decline blood products e.g. parturients who are Jehovah’s Witness.\textsuperscript{39} Staff who operate this equipment should receive training in how to operate it and frequently use it to maintain their skills.

2.9 Devices, such as forced air warmers, to prevent and/or treat hypothermia should be available.\textsuperscript{40}

2.10 A difficult intubation trolley with a variety of laryngoscopes, including: video laryngoscopes; tracheal tubes; laryngeal masks, including second generation supraglottic airway devices; and other aids for airway management, should be available in theatre. The difficult intubation trolley should have a standard layout which is similar to trolleys in other parts of the hospital.

\textsuperscript{35} Occupational exposure limits (EH40/96). Health and Safety Executive. HMSO, London 1996.

\textsuperscript{36} List of Workplace Exposure Limits (WELs) and other tables (HSC/04/06 Annexe C). HSE, London 2004 (http://bit.ly/1vDGyWD).

\textsuperscript{37} Recommendations for standards of monitoring during anaesthesia and recovery. AAGBI, London 2015 (https://www.aagbi.org/sites/default/files/Standards%20of%20monitoring%2020150812.pdf)

\textsuperscript{38} Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland. AAGBI guidelines: the use of blood components and their alternatives 2016 Anaesthesia 2016; 71: 829-842

\textsuperscript{39} Blood transfusion and the anaesthetist. Intra-operative cell salvage. AAGBI, London 2009 (http://bit.ly/1jMa3Ca)

\textsuperscript{40} Hypothermia: prevention and management in adults having surgery. NICE, 2008. https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg65/chapter/1-guidance#intraoperative-phase
so that users will find the same equipment and layout in all sites.\textsuperscript{41,42} Patient controlled analgesia (PCA) equipment should be available for post-operative pain relief and staff operating it should be trained in its use and how to look after women with PCA.\textsuperscript{43} The maximum weight that the operating table can support should be known and alternative provision made for women who exceed this. It is recommended that the obstetric operating table should be able to safely support at least a weight of 160 kilograms in all positions.\textsuperscript{44} Equipment to facilitate the care of the morbidly obese parturient (including specialised electrically operated beds, positioning aids such as commercially produced ramping pillows, weighing scales, sliding sheets, hover mattresses or hoists) should be readily available and staff should receive training on how to use the specialist equipment.\textsuperscript{45} Ultrasound imaging equipment should be available for invasive procedures including central vascular access, transversus abdominis plane (TAP) blocks and the provision of central neuraxial blockade.\textsuperscript{46,47} Synchronised clocks should be present in all delivery rooms and theatres to facilitate the accurate recording of events and to comply with medico-legal requirements.\textsuperscript{48} Resuscitation equipment including an automated defibrillator should be available on the delivery suite and should be checked regularly.\textsuperscript{49} Support services

2.17 There should be arrangements or standing orders for prescription of pre-operative antacid prophylaxis and for laboratory investigations.

2.18 Haematology and biochemistry services should be available to provide analysis of blood and other body fluids 24 hours a day.

2.19 A local policy should be established with the haematology department to ensure blood and blood products once available are able to be transferred to delivery suite rapidly for the management of major haemorrhage.\textsuperscript{49,50} O-negative blood should be immediately available, ideally stored on the delivery suite.

2.20 There should be rapid availability of radiology services.


\textsuperscript{42} OAA DAS obstetric airway guidelines. OAA, 2015 \url{http://www.oaa-anaes.ac.uk/ui/content/content.aspx?id=3447}.

\textsuperscript{43} CG132: Caesarean Section. NICE, 2012 \url{https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg132/chapter/1-Guidance#care-of-the-woman-after-cs}.

\textsuperscript{44} Peri-operative management of the morbidly obese patient. AAGBI, London 2007 (\url{http://bit.ly/1jMaex9}).


\textsuperscript{46} Ultrasound-guided catheterisation of the epidural space (IPG249). NICE, London 2008 (\url{www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg249}).

\textsuperscript{47} Sehgal A, Bamber J. Different clocks, different times. Anaesth 2003;58:398.

\textsuperscript{48} OAA/AAGBI Guidelines for obstetric anaesthetic services. OAA and AAGBI, London 2013 (\url{http://bit.ly/1P8sqP2}).


\textsuperscript{50} IN PRINT: AAGBI: use of blood components and alternatives 2016. (\url{http://bit.ly/296TtQd})
2.22 In tertiary referral centres, there should be 24-hour access to interventional radiology services.  

2.23 The provision of sterile pre-filled syringes or bags of low dose local anaesthetic combined with opioid solutions for regional analgesia should be available.

2.24 Pre-filled syringes could be used where available.  

2.25 Local anaesthetic solutions intended for epidural infusion should be stored separately from intravenous infusion solutions, to minimise the risk of accidental intravenous administration of such drugs.  

2.26 Intralipid, sugammadex and dantrolene should be kept on the delivery suite and their location should be clearly identified.

2.27 Physiotherapy services should be available 24 hours a day, 365 days a year, for patients requiring high dependency care.

2.28 Facilities

2.28 There should be easy and safe access to the delivery suite from the main hospital at all times of the day.

2.29 There should be the provision of an emergency call system.

2.30 There should be at least one fully equipped obstetric theatre within the delivery suite. The number of operating theatres required should depend on the number of deliveries and operative risk profile of the women delivering in the unit.

2.31 An operating theatre with appropriately trained staff should be readily available for women requiring emergency operative procedures.

2.32 Adequate recovery room facilities, including the ability to monitor systemic blood pressure, ECG, oxygen saturation, end-tidal carbon dioxide and temperature should be available within the delivery suite theatre complex.

2.33 Anaesthetic machines, monitoring and infusion equipment and near patient testing devices should be maintained, repaired and calibrated by medical physics technicians.

2.34 All units should have facilities, equipment and appropriately trained staff to provide care for acutely ill obstetric patients. If this is unavaiable, women should be transferred to general critical care area in the same hospital with staff trained to provide care to obstetric patients.

2.35 All patients should be able to access Level 3 critical care if required; units without such provision on site should have an arrangement with a nominated Level 3 critical care unit and an agreed policy for the stabilisation and safe transfer of patients to this unit when required. Portable monitoring with facility for invasive monitoring should be available to facilitate safe transfer of obstetric patients to the ICU.

2.36 An anaesthetic office, within five minutes from the delivery suite, should be available to the duty anaesthetic team. The room should have a computer with intra/internet access for access to specialist reference material and local multidisciplinary evidence-based guidelines and policies. The office space, facilities and furniture should comply with the standards

---


recommended by the AAGBI guidelines.\textsuperscript{54} This office could also be used to allow teaching, assessment and appraisal.\textsuperscript{54}

2.37 A communal rest room in the delivery suite should be provided to enable staff of all specialties to meet.

2.38 A seminar room should be accessible for training, teaching and multidisciplinary meetings.

2.39 All hospitals should ensure the availability of areas that allow those doctors working night shifts to take rest breaks essential for the reduction of fatigue and improve safety.\textsuperscript{24}. These areas should not be used by more than one person at a time and allow the doctor to fully recline.

2.40 Standards of accommodation for doctors in training should be adhered to.\textsuperscript{24} Where a consultant is required to be resident, on-call accommodation should be provided.

2.41 Hotel services should provide suitable on-call facilities including housekeeping for resident and non-resident anaesthetic staff. Refreshments should be available throughout the 24-hour period.

Guidelines

2.42 All obstetric departments should provide and regularly update multidisciplinary guidelines. A comprehensive list of recommended guidelines can be found in the OAA/AAGBI Guidelines for Obstetric Anaesthesia Services.\textsuperscript{48}

3 Areas of Special Requirement

Care for the acutely ill obstetric patient

3.1 NICE guidance on the recognition and response to acute illness in adults in hospitals should be implemented.\textsuperscript{55}

3.2 An early warning score modified for use in obstetrics, with a graded response system should be used in all obstetric patients to aid early recognition and treatment of the acutely ill parturient\textsuperscript{56, 57}.

3.3 All units should be able to escalate care to an appropriate level.

3.4 Whenever possible, escalation in care should not lead to the separation of mother and baby.\textsuperscript{4, 15}

3.5 When midwives provide a level of care beyond their routine scope of practice, they should be appropriately trained.

3.6 There should be a named consultant anaesthetist and obstetrician responsible for all women requiring a higher level of care 24 hours a day.\textsuperscript{15}


Care for the obese woman

The incidence of obesity is rising in the obstetric population. Obesity is associated with increased incidence of both obstetric and medical complications.  

3.7 There should be a system in place for antenatal anaesthetic review of obese women with a BMI above 40kg/m\(^2\).  

3.8 The duty anaesthetist should be informed as soon as a woman with a BMI above a locally agreed threshold is admitted.  

3.9 There should be appropriate equipment to care for obese women.  

Care for women under the age of eighteen

The following recommendations apply to units that admit young women and girls under the age of eighteen for obstetric services.

3.10 There should be a multidisciplinary protocol governing care of these patients that includes: consent, the environment in which these patients are cared for and the staff responsible for caring for these young people.

3.11 Anaesthetists should be aware of legislation and good practice guidance relevant to children and according to the location in the UK. These documents refer to the rights of the child, child protection processes and consent.

3.12 Anaesthetists must undertake at least level 2 training in safeguarding/child protection and must maintain this level of competence by regular annual updates of current policy and practice and case discussion.

3.13 At least one consultant in each anaesthetic department, not necessarily an obstetric anaesthetist, should take the lead in safeguarding/child protection and undertake training and maintain core level 3 competencies. The lead anaesthetist for safeguarding/child protection should liaise with their multidisciplinary counterparts within the obstetric unit.

---


Northern Ireland Child Care law – the rough guide. DHSSPSNI, 2004


Lead anaesthetist for child protection/safeguarding. RCoA and APAGBI, London 2010 (updated 2016) [www.rcpa.ac.uk/node/7126].
4 Training and Education

4.1 All anaesthetists involved in the care of pregnant women should be competent to deliver high quality, safe care that takes into account the physiological changes and other requirements of pregnant women.

4.2 There should be a nominated consultant responsible for training in obstetric anaesthesia, with adequate programmed activities allocated for these responsibilities.

4.3 Elective Caesarean deliveries should be utilised for training purposes.

4.4 The successful completion of the initial assessment of competence in obstetric anaesthesia (IACOA) should be obtained by all core trainees before they are allowed to work in an obstetric unit without direct supervision.

4.5 A process should be in place for the formal assessment of anaesthetists prior to allowing them to join the on-call rota for obstetric anaesthesia with distant supervision.

4.6 Simulation-based learning techniques should be used to assist anaesthetists to develop the necessary technical and non-technical skills.

4.7 There should be induction programmes for all new members of staff, including locums. Induction for a locum doctor should include familiarisation with; the layout of the labour ward; location of emergency equipment and drugs (e.g. MOH trolley/intralipid/dantrolene); access to guidelines and protocols; information of how to summon support/assistance; and assurance that the locum is capable of using the equipment in that obstetric unit. All inductions should be documented.


Joint OAA/RCoA obstetric Anaesthetic Training Survey. OAA/ RCoA, 2010


Hamlyn VG, et al. Assessment and training on a new epidural simulator. IJOA 2014;S34


4.8 Anaesthetists with a job plan that includes obstetric anaesthesia must demonstrate ongoing continuing education in obstetric anaesthesia, and continuing professional development as needed for this aspect of their work. Hospitals have a responsibility to enable this with local teaching where appropriate and by facilitating access to other education and training.  

4.9 Any non-trainee anaesthetist who undertakes anaesthetic duties in the labour ward should have been assessed as competent to perform these duties in accordance with OAA and RCoA guidelines. Such a doctor should work regularly in the labour ward but should also regularly undertake non-obstetric anaesthetic work to ensure maintenance of a broad range of anaesthetic skills.

4.10 All staff working on the delivery suite should have annual resuscitation training, including the specific challenges of pregnant women.

4.11 Anaesthetists should contribute to the education and update of midwives, anaesthetic assistants and obstetricians.

4.12 Anaesthetists should help organise and participate in regular multidisciplinary courses and ‘fire drills’ of emergency situations.

5 Organisation and Administration

5.1 A system should be in place to ensure that women requiring antenatal referral to the anaesthetist are seen and assessed by an anaesthetist, normally a consultant, within a suitable time frame, preferably in early pregnancy. Ideally, this should be in the form of multidisciplinary team management of these high risk women.

5.2 All women requiring caesarean section should, except in extreme emergency, be visited and assessed by an anaesthetist before arrival in the operating theatre. Ideally, women should be seen at least 24 hours prior to elective surgery where pre-assessment, provision of information including printed material, and consent for anaesthesia is obtained.

5.3 All women who have received regional analgesia/anaesthesia or general anaesthesia for labour and delivery should be reviewed following delivery. Women should fulfil locally agreed discharge criteria before going home.

5.4 Obstetric units should be able to provide neuraxial analgesia on request. Smaller units may be unable to provide a 24 hour service; women booking at such units should be made aware that neuraxial analgesia may not always be available.

5.5 Midwifery care of a woman receiving neuraxial analgesia in labour should comply with local guidelines that have been agreed with the anaesthetic department. Local guidelines should
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include required competencies, maintenance of those competencies and frequency of
training. If the level of midwifery staffing is considered inadequate, neuraxial analgesia block
should not be provided.

Units should local guidelines on the recognition and management of complications of
neuraxial analgesia that include training on the recognition of complications and access to
appropriate imaging facilities when neurological injury is suspected.

Units should provide low dose neuraxial analgesia.\textsuperscript{18,93}

Neuraxial analgesia should not be used in labour unless the obstetric team is immediately
available.

There should be a locally agreed neuraxial analgesia record and a protocol for the prescription
and administration of drugs.

The time from the anaesthetist being informed about a request for neuraxial analgesia, when
the circumstances would be suitable for this type of analgesia, until they attend should be
within 30 minutes. Only in exceptional circumstance should the response be longer than
30 minutes, and then it should be at least within one hour. This should be the subject of
regular audits.\textsuperscript{25}

When remifentanil PCA is provided as an alternative to neuraxial analgesia, there should be
local multidisciplinary guidelines.\textsuperscript{94}

Midwives looking after women on remifentanil PCA should be trained specifically in the use of
the technique and stay with the woman continuously without any break in observation.
Remifentanil PCA should only be provided in units where it is frequently used. Rapid reversal
of respiratory depression/arrest and airway resuscitation equipment should be immediately
available.

\textbf{Emergency Caesarean delivery}

There should be a clear line of communication between the duty anaesthetist, theatre staff
and anaesthetic assistant once a decision is made to undertake an emergency Caesarean
delivery.

The anaesthetist should be informed about the category of urgency of Caesarean delivery at
the earliest opportunity.\textsuperscript{95}

A WHO checklist adapted for maternity should be used in theatre.\textsuperscript{96}

Before induction of GA, there should be a multidisciplinary discussion whether to wake the

\textsuperscript{93} Comparative obstetric mobile epidural trial (COMET) study group UK. Effect of low-dose mobile versus
traditional epidural techniques on mode of delivery: a randomised controlled trial. Lancet
2001;358:19–23
\textsuperscript{94} Muchatuta NA, Kinsella SM. Remifentanil for labour analgesia: time to draw breath? Anaesthesia 2013;
\textsuperscript{96} Haynes AB et al. The safe surgery saves lives study group. A surgical safety checklist to reduce
5.17 Women should be informed of the risks of accidental awareness under general anaesthesia during emergency Caesarean delivery. Precautions should be taken to minimise these risks.\textsuperscript{9,95,96} There should be clear arrangements as to whom to call should two emergencies occur simultaneously.

5.19 Trusts should have approved documentation defining safe staffing levels for anaesthetists and anaesthetic assistance, including contingency arrangements for managing staffing shortfalls, and annual reviews of compliance with these should be performed.

The multidisciplinary team

Care of the pregnant woman is delivered by teams rather than individuals. Effective teamwork has been shown to increase safety, while poor teamwork has the opposite effect.\textsuperscript{56,79} It is, therefore, important that obstetric anaesthetists develop effective leadership and team membership skills with good working relationships and lines of communication with all other professionals, including those whose care may be needed for difficult cases. This includes midwives, obstetricians and neonatologists, as well as professionals from other disciplines such as intensive care, obstetric physicians, neurology, cardiology, haematology, radiology, general practitioners and other physicians and surgeons.

5.20 Team briefing and the WHO checklist should be in routine use on the labour ward to promote good communication and team working and reduce adverse incidents.\textsuperscript{95,96,98,99}

5.21 The use of handover tools, which reduce critical omissions during handovers in obstetric anaesthesia, should be promoted.\textsuperscript{22,100}

5.22 Units with high Caesarean delivery rates should have elective Caesarean delivery lists to minimise disruption due to emergency work.\textsuperscript{71,101} Any elective Caesarean delivery list should have dedicated obstetric, anaesthetic and theatre staff.

5.23 If any major restructuring of the provision of local maternity services are planned, the lead obstetric anaesthetist should be involved in that process.\textsuperscript{21}

5.24 Anaesthesia should be represented on all committees responsible for maternity services (e.g. the Maternity Services Liaison Committee, Delivery Suite Forum, Obstetric Multidisciplinary Guidelines Committee, Obstetric Risk Management Committee).\textsuperscript{21,48}

5.25 Hospitals should have systems in place to facilitate multidisciplinary Morbidity and Mortality meetings.\textsuperscript{102}

\textsuperscript{97} Mushambi MC, Kinsella SM, Popat M. Obstetric Anaesthetists’ Association and Difficult Airway Society guidelines for the management of difficult and failed tracheal intubation in obstetrics. Anaesthesia 2015; 70: 1286-1306

\textsuperscript{98} Rao K, Lucas DN, Robinson PN. Surgical safety checklist in obstetrics. IJOA 2010;19:235-6


\textsuperscript{100} Smith AF, Mishra K. Interaction between anaesthetists, their patients and the anaesthesia team BJA 2010; 105 (1) 60-68


\textsuperscript{102} http://www.rcoa.ac.uk/salg/publications/document-store/anaesthesia-morbidity-and-mortality-meetings-practical-toolkit-improvement
Serious Incidents

5.26 When members of the healthcare team are involved in a critical incident, they can be profoundly affected. A team debriefing should take place after a significant critical incident. Critical incident stress debriefing by trained facilitators, with further psychological support, may assist individuals to recover from a traumatic event. After a significant critical incident, the lead clinician should review the clinical commitments of the staff concerned promptly.

5.27 There should be systematic measures in place to respond to serious incidents. These measures should protect patients and ensure that robust investigations are carried out by trained safety leads. When an incident occurs, it should be reported to all relevant bodies within and without the hospital.

6 Financial Considerations

There is a paucity of evidence regarding the financial implications of many of the recommendations we make here. Many of the recommendations are not new however and, although we do not have data about compliance of every unit with previous versions of these guidelines, the vast majority of units will already adhere to most of the standards outlined here. Many of the recommendations represent a financial impact on workforce and time allowance and this should be dealt with in robust job planning and specification in each anaesthetic department and, in the case of hospital managers, as a Trust.

Where we have made recommendations about specific equipment, this may have implications on capital and operational expenditure in terms of acquisition of the equipment and its ongoing use and maintenance. Where these recommendations are made, it is based on evidence that there is benefit to patients in terms of outcome and/or improved safety or that it offers a cost effective alternative to other treatment options available. Local business cases and action plans may need to be developed; the cost of implementing any of these evidence-based recommendations should always be considered in relation to the financial risks of providing sub-standard care. Apart from the human costs of this, litigation in maternity services is an expensive issue.

Any service implications will have to be considered on the background of the need for all NHS Trusts in England and Wales to reduce expenditure and with a view on the proposed changes to maternity budgetary structure. We recognize that staff in some units, particularly those with smaller delivery rates, may feel it is burdensome to implement some of these service specifications. It is not for the Authors and Chapter Development Group to dictate how these recommendations are met; this is to be decided locally. Individual Trusts and executives will need to consider the ongoing viability of any maternity unit that continues to fail to meet these standards. The amalgamation or formalised inter-Trust partnerships of smaller consultant-led units, for example, in an effort to pool resources more efficiently may require consideration if service provision consistently falls short of the expected standards.

7 Research, audit and quality improvement

7.1 The lead obstetric anaesthetist should audit and monitor the duty anaesthetist workload to ensure that there is sufficient provision for the busyness of the unit.

7.2 There should be regular audits of the quality of clinical governance, with particular attention being paid to provision and updating of local guidelines, reviews of adverse events, and record-keeping.

---

7.3 There should be regular audits relating to the provision of neuraxial analgesia, with particular attention paid to midwifery staffing levels and delays between request for and delivery of pain relief, maternal satisfaction rates and recognised complications.\textsuperscript{104,105}

7.4 There should be a regular audit of delays to elective Caesarean deliveries.\textsuperscript{48}

7.5 The use of an obstetric-appropriate WHO-style checklist before all surgical obstetric interventions should be the subject of regular audit and observational study.\textsuperscript{96}

7.6 All cases of maternal death, significant permanent neurological deficit, failed intubation or awareness (AAGA) should undergo case review, with learning shared locally and/or nationally.\textsuperscript{25}

7.7 Provision of supernumerary training sessions for non-specialist anaesthetists expected to provide out-of-hours or emergency care on the maternity unit should be the subject of review.\textsuperscript{106}

7.8 In units providing a programme of enhanced recovery from Caesarean delivery, there should be regular audits of readmission rates for these women.\textsuperscript{107}

7.9 As well as the specific topics detailed above, a regular audit programme should encompass national audit recipes and standards.\textsuperscript{25}

7.10 Care should be taken to ensure that all audit, standards and guidelines documents carry clear definitions of terms such as ‘neuraxial analgesia rate’.\textsuperscript{16}

7.11 Research in obstetric anaesthesia and analgesia should be encouraged. Research must follow strict ethical standards as stated by the GMC.\textsuperscript{108}

8 Implementation Support

The Anaesthesia Clinical Services Accreditation (ACSA) scheme, run by the College, aims to provide support for departments of anaesthesia to implement the recommendations contained in the GPAS chapters. The scheme provides a set of standards, and asks departments of anaesthesia to benchmark themselves against these using a self-assessment form available on the College website. Every standard in ACSA is based on recommendation(s) contained in GPAS. The ACSA standards are reviewed annually and republished approximately four months following GPAS review and republication, to ensure that they reflect current GPAS recommendations. ACSA standards include links to the relevant GPAS recommendations, for departments to refer to whilst working through their gap analyses.

Departments of anaesthesia are given the opportunity to engage with the ACSA process for a small fee. Once engaged, departments are provided with a ‘College Guide’, a member of the Quality Management of Service Group (QMSG - the College working group that oversees the process), or an experienced reviewer to assist them with identifying actions required to meet the standards outlined in the document. Departments must demonstrate adherence to all ‘priority one’ standards listed in the document to receive accreditation from the College. This is confirmed during a visit to the

---

\textsuperscript{104} Safe midwifery staffing for maternity settings (NG4). NICE, London 2015.


\textsuperscript{108} Good practice in research and consent to research. GMC, London 2010
department by a group of four ACSA reviewers (two clinical reviewers, a lay reviewer and an administrator), who submit a report back to QMSG.

The QMSG has committed to building a ‘good practice library’ (GPL), which will be used to collect and share documentation such as policies and checklists, as well as case studies of how departments that have overcome barriers to implementation of the standards, or have implemented the standards in innovative ways.

One of the outcomes of the ACSA process is to test the standards, and by extension the GPAS recommendations, to ensure that they are able to be implemented by departments of anaesthesia and consider any difficulties that may result from implementation. The QMSG has committed to measuring and reporting feedback of this type from departments engaging in the scheme back to the CDGs updating the guidance via the GPAS technical team.

9 Patient Communication and Information

9.1 Information should be made available to purchasers and women in the early ante-natal period about availability of neuraxial analgesia and anaesthetic services in their chosen location for delivery.\(^48\)

9.2 Information must be made available to women in the antenatal period about possible deviations from normal delivery and of emergencies that might arise in the peripartum period, in anticipation of constraints imposed by time and circumstances in the event of such situations arising.\(^ {109,110,111,112} \)

9.3 Information should be made available to non-English speaking women in their native languages.\(^ {113,114} \)

9.4 Units should consider local demographics, such as the prevalence of particular languages, when designing information or commissioning interpreting services.

9.5 Hospitals should ensure that the mother’s need for information in other languages should be assessed and recorded during ante natal care so that interpreting services can be planned for.

9.6 Interpreting services should be made available for non-English speaking women, with particular attention paid to how quickly such services can be mobilised and their availability out of hours.

9.7 Face to face interpreting services should be considered as most suitable, given the practical requirements for women in labour. However, telephone-based services may be able to serve a greater number of languages and be more quickly mobilized, particularly out of hours.

9.8 The use of family members to interpret or translate should be avoided unless absolutely necessary or if the woman specifically declines an independent interpreter. It should be a rare occurrence that there is no alternative translation method available.\(^ {115,116} \)

---

109 Montgomery v Lanarkshire Health Board. UK Supreme Court, 2015 (http://bit.ly/1DEA9DQ)
113 Pregnancy and complex social factors: a model for service provision for pregnant women with complex social factors (CG110). NICE, London 2010
115 Hsieh E. Not just 'getting by' factors influencing providers' choice of interpreters. Journal of General Internal Medicine 2015; 30 (1) 75-82.
9.9 Women who refuse transfusion of blood or blood products, whether because of adherence to the Jehovah’s Witness faith or for other reasons, should be identified early in the antenatal period, should meet with an anaesthetist to discuss their specific restrictions, and should receive information about the potential risks associated with their decision. Such conversations should be conducted with appropriate privacy to avoid the risk of coercion.

9.10 Women with potential capacity issues should be identified early in the ante-natal period and arrangements made to both maximise their competency and to ensure that they are adequately represented and advocated for, in keeping with current legislation. 

9.11 All explanations given to the woman should be clearly documented in her records.

Complaints

9.12 If complaints are made about aspects of care, a consultant anaesthetist should review and assess the woman’s complaint, discussing her concerns and examining her where appropriate. This should be documented. Referral for further investigations may be required.

9.13 Complaints should be handled according to local policies.

9.14 The lead obstetric anaesthetist should be made aware of all complaints.

Areas for Future Development

Areas of research currently identified as deficient by the GPAS Chapter Development Group include: efficacy of obstetric early warning systems; risks and benefits of ‘natural’ Caesarean delivery; oral intake in labour; and defining the ‘busyness’ of an obstetric unit.
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Appendix I: Recommendations Grading

The grading system is outlined in the methodology section of this chapter. The grades for each of the recommendations in this chapter are detailed in the table below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation Number</th>
<th>Level of Evidence</th>
<th>Strength of Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation Number</th>
<th>Level of Evidence</th>
<th>Strength of Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Weak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Weak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Weak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Weak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommendation Number</td>
<td>Level of Evidence</td>
<td>Strength of Recommendation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Mandatory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommendation Number</td>
<td>Level of Evidence</td>
<td>Strength of Recommendation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Aspirational</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Aspirational</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommendation Number</td>
<td>Level of Evidence</td>
<td>Strength of Recommendation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Mandatory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.03</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommendation Number</td>
<td>Level of Evidence</td>
<td>Strength of Recommendation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Mandatory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.02</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.06</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.07</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.08</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.09</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.11</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.13</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.14</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.16</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommendation Number</td>
<td>Level of Evidence</td>
<td>Strength of Recommendation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.19</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.21</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.22</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.23</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.24</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.27</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.01</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.02</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.03</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.04</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.05</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.06</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.07</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.08</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.09</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.10</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.11</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Mandatory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.01</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommendation Number</td>
<td>Level of Evidence</td>
<td>Strength of Recommendation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.02</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Mandatory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.03</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.04</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.05</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.06</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.07</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.08</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.09</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.10</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Mandatory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.11</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.12</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.13</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.14</td>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
About these guidelines

Methodology

The process by which this chapter has been developed has been documented within the GPAS Chapter Development Process Document.

The evidence included in this chapter is based on a systematic search of the literature. Abstracts were independently screened by two investigators and reviewed against inclusion and exclusion criteria. Data were extracted by one investigator in accordance with predefined criteria. The review objective was to determine the key components needed to ensure provision of high quality anaesthetic services for an obstetric population.

Search strategy

Searches were performed on Embase (1980 to present), Ovid MEDLINE (1996 to present), CINAHL and Cochrane Library, for the literature search strategy, outcomes, databases, criteria for inclusion and exclusion of evidence; please see GPAS Supporting Documents for the [Chapter Name] Chapter Search Protocol. A hand search of the literature was also conducted by the authors using the reference lists of relevant original articles and review articles.

The literature search was performed in October 2015 with a final update in August 2016.

The authors and researcher independently reviewed the abstracts and titles of the studies found in the initial search. After agreement on the primary selection of papers, full text versions were accessed and reviewed against the following predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria. The full text papers were also reviewed by the Chapter Development Group (CDG) for suitability, the final list of publications used can be found in the references.

Inclusion Criteria

The literature review considered studies that included the following patient population with all of the inclusion criteria listed below:

- All women of childbearing age undergoing elective or emergency anaesthesia or analgesia for pregnancy or labour
- All staff groups working within Anaesthetic departments that provide analgesia or anaesthesia for pregnancy and labour, including (but not restricted to) Consultant Anaesthetists, Staff Grade, Associate Specialist and Specialty (SAS) doctors, Trainee Anaesthetists, Nurses, Midwives, Operating Department Practitioners, Surgeons, Pharmacists, General Practitioners

Exclusion Criteria

The literature review used the following exclusion criteria:

- Studies that investigated the provision of an obstetric anaesthesia service provided by a speciality other than anaesthesia were excluded.
- Publications that duplicated data that had been reported in an earlier publication were also excluded.
Data extraction and analysis

Data were extracted by the authors using a pro-forma. The study characteristics data included:

- the Journal and country of publication
- the number of patients recruited into the study
- the study design
- patient characteristics
- outcome data
- the logic of the argument
- author’s conclusions
- reviewer’s comments

The patient characteristics data extracted were; age, gender and type of surgery. The analysis consider studies that included any clinical outcome, including (but not restricted to) survival, length of stay - critical care or hospital, morbidity, adverse effects and complications.

The results of the literature review can be seen below:

Preferred Reporting Systems for Systematic and Meta-analysis (PRISMA) flow chart

Records identified through database searching (n = 38592)

Records after screening of titles (n = 700)

Abstracts screened (n = 688)

Full-text articles assessed for eligibility (n = 558)

Full-text articles included in final document (n = 116)

Additional records identified through other sources (n = 267)

Duplicates (n = 32)

Records excluded (n = 110)
The evidence that is included in this chapter has been graded according to grading system, adapted from NICE and outlined below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Type of evidence</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ia</td>
<td>Evidence obtained from a single large/multicentre randomised controlled trial, a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials or a systematic review with a low risk of bias</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>At least one randomised controlled trial as part of a body of literature of overall good quality and consistency addressing the specific recommendation (evidence level I) without extrapolation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ib</td>
<td>Evidence obtained from meta-analyses, systematic reviews of RCTs or RCTs with a high risk of bias</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Well-conducted clinical studies but no high-quality randomised clinical trials on the topic of recommendation (evidence levels Ib, II or III); or extrapolated from level Ia evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIa</td>
<td>Evidence obtained from at least one well-designed quasi-experimental study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIb</td>
<td>Evidence obtained from at least one well-designed controlled study without randomisation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIc</td>
<td>Evidence obtained from case control or cohort studies with a high risk of confounding bias</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Evidence obtained from well-designed non-experimental descriptive studies, such as comparative studies, correlation studies and case studies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Evidence obtained from expert committee reports or opinions and/or clinical experiences of respected authorities</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Expert committee reports or opinions and/or clinical experiences of respected authorities (evidence level IV) or extrapolated from level I or II evidence. This grading indicates that directly applicable clinical studies of good quality are absent or not readily available.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UG</td>
<td>Legislative or statutory requirements</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>This grading indicates that implementation of this recommendation is a statutory requirement, or is required by a regulatory body (e.g. CQC, GMC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPP</td>
<td>Recommended good practice based on the clinical experience of the CDG.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Strengths and limitations of body of evidence

Comments should be made about the quality of the evidence, including any strengths or limitations, and how decisions were made between conflicting evidence. This should detail the resolution method, state how often it was used and what the impact was on the recommendations. This section will be in a narrative format.

Methods used to arrive at recommendations

Recommendations were initially drafted based on the evidence by the authors for the chapter. These were discussed with the chapter development group, and comments were received both on the content and the practicality of the recommendations. The level of evidence that was the basis for each recommendation was graded according to a grading system, and the recommendation was then graded taking into account the strength of the evidence and the clinical importance using a recommendations criteria form (see GPAS Chapter Process Document). Recommendations were worded using the following system of categorisation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strength</th>
<th>Type of evidence</th>
<th>Wording</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mandatory</td>
<td>The evidence supporting the recommendation includes at least one with an ‘M’ grading</td>
<td>Wording should reflect the mandatory nature of the recommendation i.e. ‘must’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strong</td>
<td>Confidence that for the vast majority of people, the action will do more good than harm (or more harm than good)</td>
<td>Wording should be clearly directive ‘should’ or ‘should not’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weak</td>
<td>The action will do more good than harm for most patients, but may include caveats on the quality or size of evidence base or patient preferences</td>
<td>Wording should include ‘should be considered’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspirational</td>
<td>While there is some evidence that implementation of the recommendation could improve patient care, either the evidence or the improvement is not proven or substantial</td>
<td>Wording should include ‘could’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipoise</td>
<td>There is no current evidence on this recommendation’s effect on patient care</td>
<td>Wording should include ‘there is no evidence of this recommendation’s effect on patient care’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Consultation

**DN**: to be completed prior to publication. The methodology section will also outline the recruitment process for CDG members and details about how often they met as well as explaining the role of the PSC, peer reviewers and the general public in providing input. This will explain how the peer reviewers and stakeholder organisations included in the public consultation were identified, and how their views were taken into account in devising the recommendations. The date range for the public consultation will also be included.
The Editorial Independence of GPAS

The development of GPAS is solely funded by the Royal College of Anaesthetists. However, only the GPAS technical team and the GPAS researcher are paid by the College for their work on GPAS. All funding decisions by the College are made by the CEO, in collaboration with the senior management team and College Council.

The authors of the chapters are all fellows of the Royal College of Anaesthetists. Members of College Council cannot act as chair of any chapter development group, as this individual has the deciding vote under the consensus method of decision making used in the chapters. Where College Council members have been involved in chapter development, this has been declared and recorded.

All persons involved in the development of GPAS are required to declare any pecuniary or non-pecuniary conflict of interest, in line with the GPAS conflict of interest policy as described in the GPAS Chapter Development Process Document. Any conflicts of interest are managed on a case-by-case basis to maintain the transparency and impartiality of the GPAS document. The conflicts, and the way they were managed, are outlined at the beginning of the chapter.

The role of PSC and the GPAS Editorial Board

The overall development of the entire GPAS document is overseen by the Professional Standards Committee (PSC) of the Royal College of Anaesthetists, which includes representatives from all grades of anaesthetist, clinical directors and stakeholder organisations including the Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland.

Responsibility for managing the scope of the document, and providing clinical oversight to the project technical team is delegated by the PSC to the GPAS Editorial Board, which includes individuals responsible for the various internal stakeholders (see above for membership). On the inclusion/exclusion of specific recommendations within each chapter, the Editorial Board can only provide advice to the authors. In the event of disagreement between the authors, the majority rules consensus method is used, with the lead author holding the deciding vote.

Both of these groups, along with the College’s Lay Committee review each chapter and provide comment prior to public consultation and are responsible for sign-off before final publication. In the event of disagreement consensus is reached using the majority rules consensus method, with the chair of PSC holding the deciding vote.

Updating these guidelines

This chapter will be updated for re-publication in January 2018.

Guidelines will be updated on an annual basis. The researcher will conduct the literature search again using the same search strategy to uncover any new evidence and members of the public will be able to submit new evidence to the GPAS project team. Where new evidence is uncovered, the lead author will decide whether the recommendations that were originally made are still valid in light of this new evidence.

If new evidence contradicts or strengthens existing recommendations, the authors decide whether or not to involve the remainder of the CDG in revising the recommendations accordingly.

If new evidence agrees with existing recommendations, then a reference may be added but no further action is required.

If there is no new evidence then no action is required.
This chapter is due to be fully reviewed for publication in January 2022.

Every five years guidance will be submitted to a full review involving reconvening the CDG (or appointment of a new, appropriately qualified CDG), and the process described in the methodology section of this chapter begins again.